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1. INTRODUCTION

Prior to its apparition in 2010, the ecliptic comet 103P/Hartley 2 (hereafter Hartley 2) was distinguished among short period comets of Kuiper Belt origin by three factors: first, its nucleus exhibited unusually high fractional activity (approaching 100% of the sunlit area; Groussin et al. 2004; Lisse et al. 2009), second, it released an unusually high (as then perceived) abundance of CO2 (~10%–20% relative to H2O; Colangeli et al. 1999; Crovisier et al. 1999), and third, it displayed apparently ‘normal’ (or ‘typical’) composition among a dynamical population known for having many members depleted in (the unknown) ‘long-chain’ hydrocarbons which destruction could lead to the C2 and C3 radicals observed in the coma (A’Hearn et al. 1995).

It is now recognized that the first two factors are connected, through CO2-controlled nucleus activity that releases icy clumps into the coma; their subsequent dissolution leads to an overestimate of the fractional activity of the nucleus (A’Hearn et al. 2011). The classification as “typical” is based on the relative production rates of reactive species (C2, CN, C3), which cannot be stored stably in the cometary nucleus and whose parentage is disputed or unknown. While product species are interesting, the identity and abundance of the primary volatiles and rocky grains released from the nucleus are the key clues for testing the origin and evolution of cometary material. Volatiles released directly from the nucleus (whether as gases or ices) are here termed “primary” species, while those produced in the coma are termed “product” species.

Production rates of primary volatiles can change dramatically as orbital motion and nucleus rotation modulate the received insolation (and thus gas production) on long and short timescales. Moreover, the apparent mixing ratios in the coma may change if nucleus regions with distinct chemical compositions are exposed to sunlight sequentially, e.g., by nucleus rotation. And spatial differences in the release of specific volatiles can occur if individual active vents contain material of diverse volatile character. Thus, measurements acquired through snapshots in time can be placed in a global context for the nucleus only if such behavior is characterized. Unfortunately, taking such snapshots of the composition from one or two observations has long biased studies of primary volatiles in comets. To provide that contextual perspective for Hartley 2, our investigation emphasized both the long-term and short-term behavior of gas release from the cometary nucleus.

---

* Based on data collected at the W. M. Keck Observatory, Mauna Kea, Hawaii, and at ESO’s Very Large Telescope, Paranal, Chile, under program 085.C-0229(A).

** NASA Postdoctoral Fellow.
2. OBSERVATIONS AND RESULTS

We acquired high-resolution near-infrared spectra of comet Hartley 2 using CRIRES at the ESO Very Large Telescope (VLT) in late July, and with NIRSPEC at the W. M. Keck Observatory from late July through mid-December 2010. The heliocentric (geocentric) distance (AU) ranged from 1.62 (0.78) on July 26 to 1.06 (0.13) on October 28.25 (perihelion), and then increased to 1.26 (0.37) on December 17. In late July, the comet was fainter than expected by about a factor of 10 so we achieved upper limits only. Although the comet remained less active than expected throughout this apparition (Combi et al. 2011; Meech et al. 2011), we successfully characterized volatile production with NIRSPEC on September 18 (Mumma et al. 2010), October 19 and 22, November 16, and December 16 and 17.

NIRSPEC spectra were acquired by nodding the telescope along the slit in an ABBA sequence, with the two beams separated by 12 arcsec (half the slit length). At each grating setting, spectra of infrared standard stars and calibration frames (flats and darks) were acquired for absolute flux calibration of the cometary data. We followed our standard methodology for data reduction and analysis of the individual echelle orders (Bonev 2005; DiSanti et al. 2001; Mumma et al. 2001). The signals from the two beams were combined, and spectra were extracted over nine rows (1.78 arcsec) centered on the nucleus (taken to be the row containing the peak continuum). We isolated cometary molecular emissions by subtracting a modeled dust continuum multiplied by the atmospheric transmittance. We synthesized the spectral transmittance by using a multiple layer atmosphere and a radiative transfer model (LBLRTM) that accessed the HITRAN-2008 molecular database augmented with our custom updated line parameters and fluorescence models (e.g., Villanueva et al. 2008; Kawakita & Mumma 2011; Radeva et al. 2011; Villanueva et al. 2011).

Typical spectra are presented in Figure 1. From such spectra, we quantified H$_2$O, CH$_4$, C$_2$H$_2$, C$_2$H$_6$, H$_2$CO, CH$_3$OH, HCN, NH$_3$, NH$_2$, and OH (prompt emission) on multiple dates. A robust rotational temperature is derived from the observed water line intensities (Table 1), and the derived ortho–para abundance ratio (OPR) is $2.85 \pm 0.20$ (see text). The spectrum of ethane and methanol on October 19.5. The rotational temperature derived for ethane agrees with that of co-measured water on that date (panel (a), Table 1). The spectrum of HCN (P-lines), C$_2$H$_2$ (†), NH$_3$ (§), NH$_2$ (♦), and OH (∗) on UT October 22.5. The rotational temperature derived for HCN agrees with that of co-measured water on that date (panel (a), Table 1).

Long-term water production obtained from our data is compared with that derived from optical magnitudes in Figure 2(a), and its short-term behavior is presented in Figure 2(b). We estimated long-term production rates of water from optical magnitudes, following Jorda et al. (2008):

$$\log\left(Q(H_2O)\right) = \log(k) + 30.675 - 0.2453m_h,$$

where $k$ was derived to be 0.26 and $m_h$ is the visual magnitude $m_h = 8.7 + 20\log r_{(r_0 - 20)}$. Our mean water production rates are in good agreement with those reported by Combi et al. (2011).

The trends in mixing ratio (C$_2$H$_6$, HCN, CH$_3$OH) relative to water are shown in Figure 2(c). Detailed parameters for seven primary volatiles and NH$_2$ (instrument settings, rotational
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Figure 2. Comparison of our measured water production rates and those estimated from visual magnitudes over the course of our campaign (see Section 2). (a) The measured and estimated production rates are closely correlated over the long term. The dashed lines embrace the amplitude excursions ($Q_{\text{max}}/Q_{\text{min}} = 2.1$) in the short-term production rates that we observe, probably the signature of nucleus rotation. (b) Short-term variations in water production. The points shown are either measurements of H$_2$O itself (diamonds) or of water equivalent production inferred from ethane (circles) or hydrogen cyanide (triangles) after adopting the mean mixing ratios of panel (c). The measurements of UT October 19 and 22 are compared with a rotational phase curve with an assumed period of 18 hr, an excursion amplitude of 2.1, and time phase consistent with the times of maxima and minima reported from radio observations (N. Biver et al. 2010, private communication). Dotted lines mark the high and low limits of production, as in panels (a) and (c). (c) Mixing ratios (relative to water), for C$_2$H$_6$, HCN, and CH$_3$OH. Their constancy contrasts starkly with the strong variation in individual production rates and demonstrates the bulk homogeneity of these primary volatiles in the cometary nucleus.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Setting/order$^a$</th>
<th>$T_{\text{rot}}$ (K)</th>
<th>NC Production Rate$^b$ (molecules s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>GF</th>
<th>Global $Q'$ (molecules s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Abundance Ratio (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H$_2$O</td>
<td>11:21–12:20</td>
<td>KL2/26</td>
<td>86 ± 4$^d$</td>
<td>242 ± 12.7 E25</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>411 ± 21.5 E25</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCN</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>KL2/25</td>
<td>76 ± 6$^d$</td>
<td>52.8 ± 1.8 E23</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>89.8 ± 3.18 E23</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C$_2$H$_2$</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>27.6 ± 3.90 E23</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>47.0 ± 6.63 E23</td>
<td>0.11 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH$_3$</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>135 ± 35.3 E23</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>230 ± 60.1 E23</td>
<td>0.56 ± 0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH$_3^e$</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>43.2 ± 7.34 E23</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>73.5 ± 12.5 E23</td>
<td>0.18 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH$_3$OH</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>KL2/22</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>687 ± 115 E23</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>1168 ± 196 E23</td>
<td>2.84 ± 0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H$_2$CO</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>KL2/21</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>80.2 ± 23.1 E23</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>136 ± 39 E23</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H$_2$O</td>
<td>15:04–15:35</td>
<td>KL1/26 and 27</td>
<td>80 ± 2$^e$</td>
<td>196 ± 2.31 E25</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>343 ± 4.05 E25</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C$_2$H$_6$</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>KL1/23</td>
<td>73 +8/−10$^d$</td>
<td>147 ± 4.6 E23</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>257 ± 8.1 E23</td>
<td>0.75 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH$_3$OH</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>KL1/22</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>619 ± 75.1 E23</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>1083 ± 131 E23</td>
<td>3.15 ± 0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H$_2$CO</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>KL1/22</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>80.2 ± 23.1 E23</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>136 ± 39 E23</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes.

$^a$ NIRSPEC instrument setting. $^b$ Nucleus centered (NC). $^c$ Global production rate after applying a measured growth factor (GF) to the NC production rate. $^d$ We tabulate the retrieved $T_{\text{rot}}$ and confidence limits; however, all measured temperatures are consistent with 80 K on October 19 and 22. For HCN and C$_2$H$_6$, the derived $Q'$s are only weakly sensitive to $T_{\text{rot}}$, so we adopted 80 K for all species when calculating the NC production rates. $^e$ NH$_3$ is a photolysis product and not a primary volatile. For a primary volatile, the inscribed sphere of the nucleus-centered pencil beam contains a fraction ($\sim$2/$\pi$) of the total beam content (for uniform spherical outflow). If a product of NH$_3$, NH$_2$ is severely depleted within the inscribed sphere (cf. Kawakita & Mumma 2011) making the apparent production rates for NH$_2$ given here a lower bound to those of NH$_3$, which likely are larger by about a factor of three. Although qualitatively consistent with the production rate measured for NH$_3$ itself, we defer further analysis of NH$_2$ to a later publication. $^f$ The reported error in production rate includes line-by-line scatter in measured column densities, photon noise, systematic uncertainty in the removal of the cometary continuum, and (minor) rotational temperature uncertainty.
temperatures, production rates, and mixing ratios) on October 19 and 22 are given in Table 1 (for CH$_4$, see Table 2, footnote d). A summary of water production rates and mixing ratios for all dates is presented in Table 2. We targeted CO in mid-September and mid-December, but poor weather restricted our observations and CO was not attempted. In early November, CO was measured with *Hubble Space Telescope* (HST) and found to be within the range 0.15%–0.45% (Weaver et al. 2010, 2011).

The spatial profiles measured along slit are shown for H$_2$O, C$_2$H$_6$, and dust on four dates, and for HCN and CH$_3$OH on two dates. Normal$^a$ was used for lines of the Q-branch (1.87 $\pm$ 0.31 $\times$ 10$^{-5}$) to its abundance ratio was 3% on September 18 and 1.2% on November 16.

### Summary of Abundance Ratios

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date$^a$, UT</th>
<th>$Q$(H$_2$O), 10$^{25}$ s$^{-1}$</th>
<th>Abundance Ratio$^b$ (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H$_2$O, C$_2$H$_6$, C$_2$H$_2$, CH$_3$OH, HCN, NH$_3$, H$_2$CO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 26$^e$</td>
<td>&lt;195$^e$</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep 18$^d$</td>
<td>213 ± 15</td>
<td>0.76 ± 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>314 ± 59</td>
<td>... &lt;0.13$^e$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct 19</td>
<td>411 ± 22</td>
<td>0.11 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>343 ± 4.1</td>
<td>0.75 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct 22</td>
<td>678 ± 26</td>
<td>0.75 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov 16</td>
<td>766 ± 75</td>
<td>0.69 ± 0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec 16</td>
<td>662 ± 31</td>
<td>0.64 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec 17</td>
<td>296 ± 71</td>
<td>&lt;0.81$^e$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Organics

**Notes.**

- The heliocentric distance (AU), geocentric distance (AU), and geocentric velocity (km s$^{-1}$) were: September 18 (1.191, 0.262, −11.9), October 19 (1.065, 0.121, −0.7), October 22 (1.062, 0.121, +0.4), November 16 (1.092, 0.212, +0.9), December 16 (1.25, 0.371, +9.9), December 17 (1.26, 0.371, +9.9), respectively.

- Mixing ratios are expressed relative to water. To derive individual production rates, we applied fluorescence models based on these adopted rotational temperatures: 50 K for 26 July; 65 K for 18 Sept. and 16, 17 Dec.; 80 K for 19, 22 Oct. (see Table 1); and 75 K for Nov. 16. Our mixing ratios agree well with those of Dello Russo et al. (2011), excepting methanol. We extracted production rates from CH$_3$OH $v_3$ Q-branch intensities (KL1/22), using g-factors (at 1 AU heliocentric distance) equal to 1.13 $\times$ 10$^{-5}$ for 18 Sept. and 16 Dec., and 1.02 $\times$ 10$^{-5}$ for 19 October. The g-factor used by Dello Russo et al. (2011) is larger by about a factor of two, leading to correspondingly smaller production rates and mixing ratios. On 19, 22 Oct., and 16 Nov., we employed an empirical g-factor ([1.87 $\pm$ 0.31] $\times$ 10$^{-5}$) for lines of the $v_3$ and $v_4$ bands in the range 2919–2929 cm$^{-1}$ (KL/22) and uncertainties in mixing ratios for CH$_3$OH on these dates include this uncertainty in g-factor.

- On July 26, we obtained upper limits (3σ) for production rates (Q) of three primary species. Using CRIRES they were: $Q$(C$_2$H$_6$) <$3.0 \times 10^{25}$ s$^{-1}$ and $Q$(CH$_4$) <$11 \times 10^{25}$ s$^{-1}$. Using NIRSPEC: $Q$(H$_2$O) <$195 \times 10^{25}$ s$^{-1}$.

- We searched for methane but did not detect it. The upper limit (3σ) to its abundance ratio was 3% on September 18 and 1.2% on November 16.

- Upper limits are at the 3σ confidence level. All uncertainties are given at the 1σ level.

- This compositional grouping is dominant among Oort cloud comets, in a taxonomy based on primary volatiles (Mumma et al. 2003; DiSanti and Mumma 2008). The CO abundance ratio ranged from 1.8% to 15% in this group, but in Hartley 2 CO was unusually low, with an abundance ratio 0.15%–0.45% relative to water (Weaver et al. 2010, 2011).
(Figure 3). The ends of the slit are marked (+, −) (see the inset diagram in each panel), and corresponding pixels are so-marked on the abscissa (panels (a)–(d)). The “+” direction corresponds to the projection (on the sky plane) of the true comet–Sun direction, but pixels in the “+” direction sample material in both sunward and anti-sunward hemispheres (compare diagrams above each panel). For isotropic outflow, about $\frac{1}{2}$ of the sampled coma gas would be in the sunward hemisphere on UT September 18, however, no exact conclusion can be reached when outflow is anisotropic. We loosely term the “+” direction as “sampling the sunward hemisphere”, and the “−” direction as “sampling the anti-solar hemisphere”.

On UT 18 September, we aligned the slit nearly along the Sun–comet line (within $\sim$6 deg). The spatial profile of the continuum is symmetric, but H$_2$O is extended in the anti-solar hemisphere (“−” direction) while C$_2$H$_6$ is extended in the sunward hemisphere (“+” direction; Figure 3(a)).

On UT October 19, a strong CN jet was reported with position angle nearly due north from the optocenter (Knight & Schleicher 2010, 2011). We aligned the slit along the jet, and nearly orthogonal to the Sun–comet line (Figure 3(b)). The spatial profiles of continuum, H$_2$O, and CH$_3$OH are asymmetric but gases are more extended than dust in both directions. C$_2$H$_6$ is more extended in the jet direction ("+") than are other gases and dust, and HCN is more extended than C$_2$H$_6$ in the anti-jet direction (but less so than H$_2$O and CH$_3$OH).

On UT October 22, we aligned the slit nearly along the Sun–comet line (orthogonal to the CN jet; Figure 3(c)). All gases are more extended in the sunward hemisphere (“+”) than is dust and their profiles have similar shape. Water is asymmetric and more extended in the anti-solar hemisphere (“−”), compared with CH$_3$OH, HCN, C$_2$H$_6$, and dust.

On UT December 16, we aligned the slit $\sim$40 deg to the Sun–comet line. Ethane is more extended in the sunward hemisphere (“+”) than is H$_2$O or dust; H$_2$O is more extended than dust and ethane in the anti-solar hemisphere (“−”; Figure 3(d)).

3. DISCUSSION

The activity of Hartley 2 varied strongly with time. The short-term behavior is especially significant—the global production rates for water (the dominant volatile) changed by approximately a factor of two from October 19 to 22, and again from December 16 to 17 (Figure 2(b), Table 1). The production rates for water, ethane, HCN, and methanol vary in a manner consistent with nucleus rotation, similar to the behavior reported for the optical brightness of gas and dust in the coma and for radar images (Harmon et al. 2010; Harmon et al. 2011), CN jet activity (Jehin et al. 2010), activity in HCN, and other phenomena linked directly to the nucleus (Meech et al. 2011). N. Biver et al. 2010, private communication) reported variations in HCN with amplitude $\sim$2.1 ($Q_{\text{max}}/Q_{\text{min}}$), with maxima observed around 25.10 and 27.24 UT and (broader) minima around October $\sim$25.4, 26.2, and $\sim$26.9. Compared with our measurements, smaller amplitude is expected for the larger beam sizes used by Biver et al., because the time since release increases linearly with nucleuscentric distance (assuming constant outflow velocity).

The mixing ratios of trace gases measured by us (the ratios of production rates) are steady throughout the apparition (Figure 2(c)), demonstrating that Hartley 2 releases material that is rather homogeneous in the bulk average. Spacecraft images of the nucleus revealed many individual vents and jets (A’Hearn et al. 2011). Production rates from individual vents may turn on and off as they rotate into and out of sunlight, but our data show little change—if any—in the mean chemical composition of released material. CO$_2$ comprised about 20% of the primary volatiles detected by the on board spectrometer, and its sublimation is thought to control the release of “clumps” of water ice detected in images (A’Hearn et al. 2011). If so, this apolar ice (CO$_2$) must be largely segregated from the polar water ice in the active nucleus regions. Moreover, ethane and water show distinct spatial profiles (e.g., Figure 3(b)) but the ethane mixing ratio (C$_2$H$_6$/H$_2$O) changes little as the nucleus rotates, suggesting that they are segregated into two distinct ice phases as well. If ethane and carbon dioxide reside in a common apolar ice phase, carbon dioxide should show a similar steady mixing ratio (relative to co-released water) with changing rotational phase. But if it does not, then two distinct apolar ice moieties are implied along with a third polar ice. Future spacecraft results (of CO$_2$/H$_2$O versus rotational phase) may test this hypothesis.

The mean mixing ratio for HCN in Hartley 2 agrees well with those measured in several Oort cloud comets tentatively called “organics-normal” based on primary volatiles in the relatively small number ($\sim$20) of comets measured in the IR. However, the mixing ratios for ethane and methanol are slightly higher (and the mixing ratios of C$_2$H$_2$ and CO are much lower) in Hartley 2 than in this hypothesized “organics normal” group (Table 2; Mumma et al. 2003; DiSanti & Mumma 2008). CO$_2$ (currently estimated at $\sim$20%) is at the high end of the range found by the Akari space observatory for comets within 2.5 AU of the Sun (H. Kawakita 2011, private communication; Ootsubo et al. 2010). The high abundance ratio of CO$_2$/H$_2$O is not expected if hydrogenation of C$_2$H$_2$ and CO is responsible for the high ethane/acylène and CH$_3$OH/CO ratios in Hartley 2 (Mumma et al. 1996). A distinct additional mechanism is needed for efficient formation of CO$_2$, perhaps by quantum-mechanical tunneling (of CO and O($^3P$)) on CO-enriched pre-cometary grains or by prompt recombination of CO with O($^1D$) produced by radiation processing of icy grain mantles.

3.1. Interpreting Differences in Spatial Profiles

Our data reveal distinctly different outflow properties for individual species, supporting local variations in mixing ratios (Figure 3). The extent of our sampling (along the slit) at the comet varies (linearly) with geocentric distance, e.g., on October 19 and 22 the extent was $\pm$300 km about the nucleus, but it was $\pm$600 km on September 19 and $\pm$1000 km on December 16 (Figure 3). On September 18, October 22, and December 16, water is extended in the anti-solar hemisphere while ethane is extended in the sunward hemisphere (Figures 3(a), (c), (d)). The enhancement of water in the anti-sunward direction could be associated with radiation pressure on small icy grains in the near-nucleus coma, before vaporization (but see the discussion on dissolution of “clumps” below). As a hypervolatile, ethane is likely released directly into the coma from active regions of the nucleus in response to local insolation, and thus flows mainly into the sunward hemisphere.

On October 19 we aligned the slit along the CN north polar jet (Knight & Schleicher 2010, 2011), and nearly orthogonal to the Sun–comet line (Figure 3(b)). The measured water and methanol profiles are symmetric along the slit while ethane and HCN are extended in the direction of the jet. The ethane spatial profile is congruent with the dust continuum in the anti-jet direction but is much more extended in the jet direction (where it is also relatively more extended than the other primary volatiles). This congruence is also seen in Figures 3(a), (c),
and (d). A comparison of the geometries demonstrates that the congruence is seen in the “−” direction (anti-solar) in all cases. We note that the FWHM of the continuum is similar to that of the point-spread function (PSF) measured on the calibration stars.

The combined behavior suggests strongly that ethane is escaping directly from the sunlit nucleus surface itself, while most other primary volatiles are released by vaporization of icy aggregates (“clumps”) dragged outward by escaping CO2. The very slow radial velocities of escaping icy aggregates (typically 0.3 m s−1; A’Hearn et al. 2011) impart similar center-of-mass motion to the sublimating volatiles, which then adopt a nearly isotropic distribution determined by sublimation-endowed thermal velocities (several hundred m s−1). The released grains will be only poorly accelerated by the subliming gas, owing to low gas densities near the sublimating clump, and will reach much smaller terminal velocities compared with grains released at the nucleus of a normal comet. This is likely a principal factor in establishing the observed rapid falloff in the continuum profiles. At this early stage we cannot quantify the relative contributions of the nucleus and the dissolution products to the continuum detected by us, and we defer further discussion to a later publication.

The fact that HCN is much more extended in the jet direction than in the anti-jet direction is consistent with HCN outflow in the jet itself. CN is a known photolysis product of HCN, so a portion of CN in the jet is certainly produced from HCN. However, it is uncertain whether HCN is the sole source of CN in the jet, or indeed elsewhere in the coma. The strong enhancement of the apolar volatile ethane in the jet direction (Figure 3b) suggests that apolar volatiles (e.g., CO2) could be driving the jet activity, and that other (proposed) apolar volatile precursors of CN (such as di-cyanogen, C2N2) could be enhanced there as well.

### 3.2. Constancy of Mixing Ratios

Short-term temporal variations in production rates of primary volatiles (associated with nucleus rotation) have until now been quantified in only two comets, both from the Oort cloud reservoir. Biver et al. (2009) reported 40% periodic variation in the water production rate in C/2001 Q4, with a period of 19.58 ± 0.1 hr, but no other primary volatiles were so characterized. Production rates for H2O, CO, H2CO, and CH3OH in C/2002 T7 (LINEAR) varied with a period of 2.32 days, but their abundance ratios were constant within measurement uncertainties (Anderson 2010).

Hartley 2 is the third comet for which periodic variation in production of primary volatiles has been demonstrated, and the first for which unambiguous association with nucleus rotation can be made through imaging (A’Hearn et al. 2011; Harmon et al. 2010, 2011). Among comets from the Kuiper Belt, Hartley 2 is thus unique in being so categorized. Considering the wide array of information gleaned from the flyby and from supporting investigations, Hartley 2 joins 1P/Halley (an Oort cloud comet) in being uniquely characterized among comets from the two principal reservoirs. Their similarity in composition is remarkable for implying a common heritage for (some) icy bodies in these two disparate reservoirs.

Keck telescope time was granted by NOAO (through the Telescope System Instrumentation Program funded by NSF), the University of Hawaii, and the California Institute of Technology. VLT time was granted by the European Southern Observatory. We gratefully acknowledge support by the NSF Astronomy and Astrophysics Research Grants Program (PI/co-PI Boney/Gibb), by the NASA Astrobiology Institute (PI: Meech, PI: Mumma), by NASA’s Planetary Astronomy (PI: DiSanti; PI: Mumma), Planetary Atmospheres (PI: DiSanti; PI: Villanueva), and Discovery (Meech) Programs, and by the German–Israel Foundation (D. Prialnik; M. Lippi).
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