High resolution electronic spectroscopy of the $A^2\Sigma^+ \rightarrow X^2\Pi$ 1/2 transition of PtN

Kaitlin Womack

Sean Whittemore

James O'Brien

University of Missouri-St. Louis, obrienja@umsl.edu

An Le

atle2@mail.usf.edu

Timothy Steimle

Arizona State University

Follow this and additional works at: https://irl.umsl.edu/chemistry-faculty

Part of the Chemistry Commons

Recommended Citation

Womack, Kaitlin; Whittemore, Sean; O'Brien, James; Le, An; and Steimle, Timothy, "High resolution electronic spectroscopy of the $A^2\Sigma^+ \rightarrow X^2\Pi$ 1/2 transition of PtN" (2014). Chemistry & Biochemistry Faculty Works. 93.

Available at: https://irl.umsl.edu/chemistry-faculty/93

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Chemistry and Biochemistry at IRL @ UMSL. It has been accepted for inclusion in Chemistry & Biochemistry Faculty Works by an authorized administrator of IRL @ UMSL. For more information, please contact marvinh@umsl.edu.
High resolution electronic spectroscopy of the A $^{2}\Sigma^{-}$ – X $^{2}\Pi_{1/2}$ transition of PtN


ARTICLES YOU MAY BE INTERESTED IN

Microwave spectroscopy of platinum monofluoride and platinum monochloride in the X $^{2}\Pi_{3/2}$ states
The Journal of Chemical Physics 136, 174311 (2012); https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4709487

Millimeter-wave spectroscopy of vibrationally excited ground state alkaline-earth hydroxide radicals (X $^{2}\Sigma^{+}$)
The Journal of Chemical Physics 102, 4334 (1995); https://doi.org/10.1063/1.469482

A supersonic molecular beam spectroscopic study of platinum monocarbide, PtC
The Journal of Chemical Physics 102, 5937 (1995); https://doi.org/10.1063/1.469327
High resolution electronic spectroscopy of the $A^2\Sigma^--X^2\Pi_{1/2}$ transition of PtN

Kaitlin Womack,1 Leah C. O’Brien,1,a) Sean Whittemore,2 James J. O’Brien,2 Anh Le,3 and Timothy C. Steimle3
1Department of Chemistry, Southern Illinois University, Edwardsville, Illinois 62026-1652, USA
2Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry and Center for Nanoscience, University of Missouri, St. Louis, Missouri 63121-4400, USA
3Department of Chemistry, Arizona State University, Tempe, Arizona 85287-1604, USA

(Received 30 June 2014; accepted 11 August 2014; published online 27 August 2014)

The (2,0) vibrational band of the $A^2\Sigma^--X^2\Pi_{1/2}$ transition of platinum nitride, PtN, was recorded at Doppler-limited resolution using intracavity laser absorption spectroscopy (ILS) and at sub-Doppler resolution using molecular beam laser induced fluorescence (LIF) spectroscopy. Isotopologue structure for $^{194}$PtN, $^{195}$PtN, and $^{196}$PtN, magnetic hyperfine splitting due to $^{195}$Pt ($I = \frac{1}{2}$), and nuclear quadrupole splitting due to $^{14}$N ($I = 1$) were observed in the spectrum. Molecular constants for the ground and excited states are derived. The hyperfine interactions are used to illuminate the nature of the $A^2\Sigma^-$ excited electronic state. © 2014 AIP Publishing LLC. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4893703]

INTRODUCTION

The platinum-ligand interaction plays a critical role in many areas of chemistry. For example, the platinum “trans effect,” where in square planar Pt(II) chloride compounds ligands trans to the chloride are more easily replaced, is often exploited in inorganic synthesis. This phenomena is explained using qualitative arguments involving the $\sigma$ and $\pi$ interactions of the Pt-Cl bond.1,2 Spectroscopic studies of small gas-phase Pt-containing molecules provide data on molecular and electronic structure and further insight into the nature of such interactions. Reactions of Pt-containing molecules provide a venue for experimentally probing these phenomena and other facets of catalysis in the absence of difficult-to-control, and poorly understood, chemical processes such as solvation, and aggregation.3–5 While these simple model systems will never fully account for all the details of catalysis, they are amenable to theoretical calculations, and hence form a conceptual framework for understanding catalysis. Large scale applications where the Pt–N bond is relevant include NOX reduction in car-exhaust catalytic treatments.3 Here we describe the observation and analysis of a new spectral band of PtN from which the band was assigned as the (0,0) $\sigma^\pi$ transition. Analysis of the $^{195}$Pt ($I = 1/2$) magnetic hyperfine structure confirmed that the ground state was indeed of $X^2\Pi_{1/2}$ symmetry. The $^{14}$N ($I = 1$) hyperfine splitting was not resolved in the work by Jung et al.,7 but the electric dipole moments for the $d^4\Pi_{1/2}$ ($\nu = 0$) and $X^2\Pi_{1/2}$ ($\nu = 0$) states were determined to be 1.05(11) D and 1.977(9) D, respectively.

Calculations by Dai and Balasubramanian8 extended the predictions of Ref. 7 to include 21 electronic states and applied more accurate multireference singles+double configuration interaction (MRSDCI) methods. Dai and Balasubramanian8 also included relativistic spin-orbit effects and predicted the spectroscopic properties of the first six $\Omega$-states. The spin-orbit splitting in the $X^2\Pi_{1/2}$ state was predicted8 to be 2006 cm$^{-1}$, with a dominant (80\%) $\cdot 3\sigma^2 1\pi^2 2\pi^2 1\delta^6$ electron configuration. Low-lying $4\Sigma_{1/2}^-$ and $2\Sigma_{1/2}^-$ states, with a dominant $\cdot 3\sigma^2 1\pi^4 2\pi^2 1\delta^4$ electron configuration, were predicted8 at 889 cm$^{-1}$ and 3449 cm$^{-1}$, respectively.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

The PtN spectrum was recorded using two methods: mid- to-high $J$-lines were recorded at Doppler-limited resolution using intracavity laser spectroscopy (ILS); low $J$-lines were recorded using laser induced fluorescence (LIF) detection at sub-Doppler resolution in a supersonic molecular beam. The higher resolution, molecular beam spectra were used to...
characterize the $^{14}$N hyperfine interaction and confirm the spectral assignment determined in the ILS work. For the ILS work, PtN molecules were produced in a plasma discharge sputter source with a 50 mm long Pt-lined hollow cathode; the method is similar to that used by us to produce PtF molecules. The ILS approach used and further details on creating the plasma diatomic species is provided in Ref. 10. An applied potential produced a discharge current of 0.5 A in about 1.6 Torr of argon used as the sputter gas. Nitrogen gas was used as an oxidant and reacted with the platinum vapor generated from the cathode. PtN spectra from 11 620 through 11 738 cm$^{-1}$ were recorded as a series of overlapping $\sim$6 cm$^{-1}$ wide spectral segments. The generation time varied from 100 to 130 $\mu$s which results in an effective pathlength of 0.92–1.2 km for a 50 mm long hollow cathode. Wavelength calibration was accomplished by alternatingly measuring the spectrum of the intracavity PtN and the Doppler limited I$_2$ absorption spectrum recorded from an extra-cavity iodine cell heated to approximately 600 °C. The iodine atlas was used to calibrate the spectra. Peak positions were determined from the zero crossing-points of the first derivative spectra using Savitzky–Golay polynomial smoothing, taking into account changes in the spectral dispersion across the multi-channel detector determined from ILS spectra of an intracavity etalon recorded in separate experiments. The procedure enables the positions for isolated, unblended lines to be determined to an accuracy of better than $\pm$0.007 cm$^{-1}$.

The molecular beam production and LIF detection schemes were similar to that used in the previous study. A continuously rotating platinum rod was ablated in a supersonic expansion of approximately 5% ammonia (NH$_3$) seeded in argon with a backing pressure of approximately 3 MPa. The pulsed free-jet expansion was skinned to form a well-collimated molecular beam which was crossed with a single longitudinal mode, continuous wave, Ti:Sapphire laser beam approximately 50 cm downstream from the source. The laser power was attenuated to approximately 50 mW and lightly focused (focal length = 1 m) to avoid power broadening. Spectral line widths of less than 40 MHz FWHM were observed. The absolute wavenumbers were determined to an accuracy of $\pm$0.003 cm$^{-1}$ by simultaneously recording the Doppler I$_2$ absorption spectrum. Interpolation between I$_2$ absorption features was achieved by simultaneously recording the transmission of two confocal etalons. One etalon was actively stabilized and calibrated to have a free spectral range of 753 MHz. A second, unstabilized etalon with a free spectral range of 75 MHz was used to interpolate between transmission peaks of the stabilized etalon.

**OBSERVATION**

The ILS spectrum was recorded in the 11 620–11 738 cm$^{-1}$ region. A portion of the ILS spectrum near 11 632 cm$^{-1}$ is shown in Figure 1. Pronounced isotopologue structure is observed in the spectrum, and peaks due to the three most prominent isotopologues ($^{194}$PtN 33%, $^{195}$PtN 34%, and $^{196}$PtN 25%) were identified. For each rotational line, the separation between the outermost $^{194}$PtN and $^{196}$PtN peaks remained nearly constant throughout the spectrum at about 0.47 cm$^{-1}$, as displayed in Figure 1. The two smaller, middle peaks of each transition are the hyperfine components due to the nuclear spin of $^{195}$Pt ($I = 1/2$), and this splitting is rather consistent at approximately 0.12 cm$^{-1}$ at high $J$, although some small variation is observed in the different branches. Thus, a unique quartet pattern was observed throughout the spectrum. The band head at 11 738 cm$^{-1}$ and the quartet pattern due to $^{194}$PtN, $^{195}$PtN, and $^{196}$PtN are shown in Figure 2. Line positions, assignments, and residuals for all three isotopologues are presented in the supplementary material.

The $R_{1}(1/2), R_{3}(3/2), R_{21}(1/2), P_{1}(3/2), Q_{21}(J = 1/2 - J = 9/2)$, and $Q_{21}(J = 1/2 - J = 5/2)$ branch features of the $(2,0)\,A^{2}\Sigma^{-} - X^{2}\Pi_{1/2}$ band for the $^{194}$PtN isotopologue were recorded at high resolution using molecular beam LIF detection. Narrow LIF scans of $P_{1}(3/2)\,(\nu = 11 728.728 \text{ cm}^{-1})$ and $Q_{21}(7/2)\,(\nu = 11 731.204 \text{ cm}^{-1})$ branch features are given in Figure 3 along with the associated energy levels determined using the optimized molecular constants (vide infra). The small splitting of each branch feature is due to the $^{14}$N ($I = 1$) hyperfine interaction. A comparison of various spectral features shows that the hyperfine interaction is substantial in both the $X^{2}\Pi_{1/2}$ ($\nu = 0$) and the $A^{2}\Sigma^{-}$ ($\nu = 2$) states. The

**FIG. 1.** Portion of ILS spectrum of PtN near 11 632 cm$^{-1}$.

**FIG. 2.** ILS spectrum of PtN near bandhead at 11 738 cm$^{-1}$.
The splitting of each branch feature is due to the $^{14}$N levels (right) obtained using the optimized parameters of Table II. The small splitting of each branch feature is due to the $^{14}$N($I=1$) hyperfine interaction.

**ANALYSIS**

Four strong branches were easily identified in the ILS spectrum. The lower state was assumed to be the ground $X^2\Sigma^-$ band. The $\Delta_2 F$ and $\Delta_1 F$ values for the ground state were predicted using the molecular constants from Jung et al.\(^7\) and differences between the observed branches were tested in order to obtain rotational assignments. Ultimately the observed features were securely identified as the members of the $R_{21}$, $Q_{21}$, $Q_1$, and $P_1$ branches, confirming that the lower electronic state is $X^2\Pi_{1/2}$ ($\nu=0$). Based on the initial fits of the $^{194}$PtN lines, the weaker $R_1$ and $P_{21}$ branches were predicted and subsequently identified for the $^{194}$PtN and $^{196}$PtN isotopologues.

There are two possibilities for the symmetry of the excited electronic state, either $^2\Sigma^+$ or $^2\Sigma^-$, and the data were fit both ways. The main difference in the excited state energy levels is the value of the spin-rotation parameter which we found to be $\gamma(\Sigma^+) = 1.628$ cm$^{-1}$ or $\gamma(\Sigma^-) = 0.0398$ cm$^{-1}$. Previous calculations\(^7,8\) predict a relatively low density of low-lying electronic states which suggest that the excited state $\gamma$ value should be small, which supports the assignment of the observed spectrum as the $A^2\Sigma^+ - X^2\Pi_{1/2}$ transition. The analysis of the $^{195}$Pt ($I=1/2$) magnetic hyperfine structure (vide infra) also supports the assignment of the excited state to be of $^2\Sigma^-$ symmetry.

Using vibrational frequencies calculated at the MRSDCI level\(^8\) for the $A^2\Sigma^-$ and $X^2\Pi_{1/2}$ states and the isotopologue relationships,\(^14\) shifts for several possible excited state vibrational level assignments were predicted. The calculated origin shifts for the $^{194}$PtN and $^{196}$PtN isotopologues for the (0,0), (1,0), (2,0), and (3,0) bands of the $A^2\Sigma^- - X^2\Pi_{1/2}$ transition are $-0.03$, $0.21$, $0.45$, and $0.69$ cm$^{-1}$, respectively. The observed origin shift of $\sim0.47$ cm$^{-1}$ clearly supports the (2,0) vibrational band assignment for the transition.

Ultimately, the final fits of the Doppler limited ILS spectra were performed using PGOPHER 7.1.108,\(^15\) with a separate fit for each isotopologue. The Hamiltonian utilized in the PGOPHER program can be separated into several standard components:\(^16\)

$$\hat{H}_{rot} = B\hat{N}^2 - D\hat{N}^4 + H\hat{N}^6,$$

(1)

**TABLE I.** The assignments, observed $^{14}$N hyperfine splitting, and the differences from the calculated splitting in (MHz) of the $^{194}$PtN isotope in the (2,0) $A^2\Sigma^- - X^2\Pi_{1/2}$ band.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line</th>
<th>$A^\beta$</th>
<th>$B^\beta$</th>
<th>$A^\alpha$</th>
<th>$B^\alpha$</th>
<th>$A^\gamma$</th>
<th>$B^\gamma$</th>
<th>$A^\delta$</th>
<th>$B^\delta$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$F''''$</td>
<td>$F''$</td>
<td>$F''''$</td>
<td>$F''$</td>
<td>$F''''$</td>
<td>$F''$</td>
<td>$F''''$</td>
<td>$F''$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Q_1(1/2)$</td>
<td>1.5 1.5 0.5 0.5</td>
<td>30 2</td>
<td>$Q_{21}(3/2)$</td>
<td>1.5 1.5 2.5 2.5</td>
<td>94 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Q_1(3/2)$</td>
<td>2.5 2.5 1.5 1.5</td>
<td>52 6</td>
<td>$Q_{21}(5/2)$</td>
<td>2.5 2.5 3.5 3.5</td>
<td>79 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Q_1(5/2)$</td>
<td>3.5 3.5 2.5 2.5</td>
<td>45 3</td>
<td>$Q_2(1/2)$</td>
<td>3.5 3.5 4.5 4.5</td>
<td>73 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_1(3/2)$</td>
<td>0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5</td>
<td>34 3</td>
<td>$P_2(1/2)$</td>
<td>0.5 0.5 1.5 1.5</td>
<td>34 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The “A” and “B” components are the higher and lower frequency spectral features, respectively, associated with a given measured splitting. For example, the first row under the $Q_{21}(7/2)$, entries are the quantum numbers for the “A” and “B” spectral features given in Figure 3 and the measured splitting between these two lines.

FIG. 3. Narrow LIF scans of $P_1(3/2)$ ($\nu = 11 728.728$ cm$^{-1}$) and $Q_{21}(7/2)$ ($\nu = 11 731.204$ cm$^{-1}$) branch features (left) along with the associated energy levels (right) obtained using the optimized parameters of Table II. The small splitting of each branch feature is due to the $^{14}$N($I=1$) hyperfine interaction.
Two distinct hyperfine patterns are evident in the spectrum: the \( R_{21} \) and \( Q_{21} \) lines gave similar splittings, and the \( P_1 \) and \( Q_1 \) lines gave similar splittings. This indicates that the magnetic hyperfine structure was mainly in the excited state. Initially, the analytical expressions of Townes and Schawlow\(^a\) for the diagonal matrix elements of \( \mathbf{H}_{\text{hyperfine}} \) in a Hund’s case (\( b \)) basis were used to model the hyperfine structure at high \( J \) values. Based on the splitting of the hyperfine components at high \( J \), an estimate of \( b(A^2 \Sigma) = \pm 0.23 \) cm\(^{-1}\) was obtained.

The LIF spectrum of the \( R_{21}(0.5) \) line was used to confirm the symmetry of the excited \( A^2 \Sigma \) state. As shown in Figure 4, the two main hyperfine components of the \( R_{21}(0.5) \) line with \( \Delta F = \Delta J \) were observed at 11 732.4367 and 11 732.5873 cm\(^{-1}\), with the lower energy component weaker in intensity. Since the intensity is proportional to the degeneracy of the levels, the weaker transition is assigned as \( F' = 1e \leftrightarrow F'' = 0e \) and the stronger transition is assigned as \( F' = 2e \leftrightarrow F'' = 1e \). With this assignment, the sign of \( b_F \) was determined to be negative, which is not consistent with a \( \Sigma^+ \) state with a single, unpaired \( \sigma \) electron. Observation of two satellite lines, the \( R_{21}(0.5) \) line with \( F' = 1e \leftrightarrow F'' = 1e \) at \( \nu = 11 732.389 \) cm\(^{-1}\) and the \( S_{0}(0.5) \) line with \( F' = 2f \leftrightarrow F'' = 1e \) at \( \nu = 11 732.3095 \) cm\(^{-1}\), provides further support for

\[ H_{\text{spin orbit}} = \sum_s \gamma_s \cdot L \cdot \mathbf{S} \quad (\gamma \Sigma \text{ only}), \]  

\[ H_{\text{spin rotation}} = \sum_s \gamma_s \cdot L \cdot \mathbf{S} \quad (\gamma \Sigma \text{ only}), \]  

\[ H_{\text{doubling}} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_s \gamma_s \cdot (\mathbf{S} \cdot L) \cdot \mathbf{S} \quad (\gamma \Sigma \text{ only}), \]  

\[ H_{\text{magnetic dipole}} = a I \cdot L + b \mathbf{I} \cdot \mathbf{S} + c/3 (3 \mathbf{I} \cdot \mathbf{S} - \mathbf{I} \cdot \mathbf{S}) \]  

\[ -\frac{1}{2} d (\mathbf{S} \cdot L - \mathbf{I} \cdot \mathbf{S} - \mathbf{I} \cdot \mathbf{S} - \mathbf{I} \cdot \mathbf{S} - \mathbf{I} \cdot \mathbf{S}) \]  

\[ H_{\text{electric quadrupole}} = -\frac{e Q_{0}}{4I(2I-1)} (F^2 - I^2). \]  

\(^a\)Value held constant in fit, see text.

\(^b\)From Jung et al.\(^7\)

The data is restricted to only the |\( 2 \rangle = 1/2 \) spin-orbit component of the \( A^2 \Pi \) state. Hence, only the linear combination of the \( \Lambda \)-doubling parameters, \( p + 2q \), is determinable. Furthermore, only the effective magnetic hyperfine interactions parameter, \( h_{1/2} \), and the parity dependent term, \( d \), are determinable. The effective parameter \( h_{1/2} \) is related to the Frosch and Foley\(^17\) parameters by

\[ h_{1/2} = a \Lambda + (b+c) \Sigma, \]  

where \( \Lambda \) and \( \Sigma \) are the molecular fixed axis projection of the total electronic orbital and spin angular momenta, respectively.

Fits of the \(^{195}\)PtN and \(^{196}\)PtN line positions were straightforward, and the molecular constants determined from the fits are presented in Table II. For the \( X^2 \Pi_{1/2} \) state, the \( B_0 \) values for these two isotopologues are consistent with the isotopologue relationships\(^14\) within the experimental uncertainty. The molecular constants of the \( X^2 \Pi_{1/2} \) state from Jung et al.\(^7\) are also included in Table II for comparison. In our work, as in the Jung et al.\(^7\) analysis, the ground state \( X^2 \Pi \) term energy was set to 1000 cm\(^{-1}\) and the spin-orbit constant held fixed at +2000 cm\(^{-1}\). This sets the term energy of \( \nu = 0 \) of the \( X^2 \Pi_{1/2} \) state at \( T_0 = 0 \) cm\(^{-1}\).

The \(^{195}\)PtN lines show well-resolved magnetic hyperfine structure in the ILS spectra due to the \(^{195}\)Pt isotope (\( I = 1/2 \)), with the majority of the features being assigned as \( \Delta F = \Delta J \).
the rotational and hyperfine assignments and the excited state symmetry.

The $^{195}$PtN isotopologue data set includes four regular branches with $\Delta F = \Delta J$, plus two $^6S_J(J)$ satellite lines with $\Delta F = +1$ at low $J$. The molecular constants from the initial fits exhibited high correlation and their uncertainties were significantly higher than those of the $^{194}$PtN and $^{196}$PtN parameters. To improve the fit, the ground state $B_0$ value for $^{195}$PtN was fixed to that predicted from the $^{194}$PtN $B_0$ value using the isotopologue relationships and the excited state $\gamma_D$ value was held fixed at the average of the $\gamma_D$ values for $^{194}$PtN and $^{196}$PtN. In the final fit, the ground state hyperfine parameters were constrained to the previously determined values. These conditions resulted in an acceptable fit for the line positions, with a standard deviation of $<0.008 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ for the ILS data and $<0.004 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ for the LIF data. The fitted molecular constants for $^{195}$PtN are given in Table II.

The small $^{14}$N splitting observed in the molecular beam LIF spectrum of $^{194}$PtN was modelled using a separate program written specifically for this project. The eigenvalues and eigenvectors were obtained by diagonalization of matrix representations with dimensions of $12 \times 12$ for the (a) and $12 \times 12$ for the (b) states. The low-lying first excited electronic configuration is $\pi^2 \sigma^1$, which gives rise to Hund’s case (a) $\pi^2 \sigma^1$ electronic configuration, which has been predicted through high-level ab initio calculations, predicted from more recent density functional calculations, and confirmed experimentally. The first excited electronic configuration is $\cdots 3\sigma^1 2\pi^2$, which gives rise to Hund’s case (a) $4\Sigma^-$, $2\Sigma^-$, $2\Delta$, and $2\Sigma^+$ states. The low-lying $a^4\Sigma^-$ ($T_e = 889 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) and $A^2\Sigma^-$ ($T_e = 3449 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) states predicted from the high-level ab initio calculations do indeed have a dominant $\cdots 3\pi^2 2\sigma^2$ configuration.

Based upon the observed isotopic shifts, the present spectrum is assigned as the $(2,0) A^2\Sigma^- - X^2\Pi_{1/2}$ transition. The bond length in the $\nu = 2$ $A^2\Sigma^-$ state, $r_2 = 1.803 \text{ Å}$, is significantly longer than that for the $\nu = 0 \times 2\Pi_{1/2}$ state where $r_0 = 1.687 \text{ Å}$. The lengthening of the bond upon $3\sigma \rightarrow 2\pi$ excitation is consistent with a promotion of an electron from the non-bonding $3\sigma$-orbital to the anti-bonding $2\pi$ orbital as implied by the molecular orbital correlation diagram, Figure 5.

Using the calculated vibrational frequency, the experimental term energy, $T_e$, for the $A^2\Sigma^-$ state is estimated to be $T_e(A^2\Sigma^-) \approx 10300 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ above the $X^2\Pi_{1/2}$ state. The ab initio prediction, which did not include treatment of the spin-orbit interaction, predicts a $T_e(=3449 \text{ cm}^{-1})$ which is significantly less than the estimated $T_e(A^2\Sigma^-) \approx 10300 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ obtained here. The effects of the ignored Pt spin-orbit interaction for the states investigated in Ref. 8, however, are large. Consider, for example, the changing $T_e$ value of the $a^4\Sigma^-$ state, for which spin-orbit interaction was treated in the calculations: in the absence of spin-orbit ordering, $T_e(a^4\Sigma^-)$ is calculated to be $975 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, yet the relativistic CI calculation of the lowest excited $\Omega = \frac{1}{2}$ state, which is $88\% a^4\Sigma^-$, predicts $T_e(a^4\Sigma^-) = 5072 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. The $a^4\Sigma^-$ and $A^2\Sigma^-$ states arise from the same dominant $\cdots 3\pi^1 2\sigma^2$ configuration and it can be inferred that the true $T_e$ value of the $A^2\Sigma^-$ state will be significantly higher than the $T_e(=3449 \text{ cm}^{-1})$ calculated in the absence of spin-orbit interaction. The $6s$ orbital is strongly stabilized via relativistic effects and the separation between the $A^2\Sigma^-$ and the $X^2\Pi$ states is expected to be underestimated when relativistic effects are not included because the $3\sigma \rightarrow 2\pi$ excitation is essentially a promotion of a $6s$ electron.

The $^{193}$Pt ($I = 1/2$) hyperfine parameters provide the most detailed experimentally derived information about the nature of the $X^2\Pi_{1/2}$ and $A^2\Sigma^-$ states. Unfortunately, these parameters are rarely predicted in ab initio studies because

![Diagram](image-url)
they depend upon very accurate electronic wavefunctions in the region of the nuclei. The common practice is to use an "atoms-in-molecules" approach that uses experimentally or theoretically derived atomic hyperfine information and a proposed atomic orbital composition of the relevant molecular orbitals. This approach was used successfully to provide a qualitative interpretation of the hyperfine structure in the $X^2\Sigma^-$ state of platinum nitride, PtN, by Jung $et$ $al.$ $^7$ and more recently for the hyperfine interaction of the $X^2\Pi_{3/2}$ state of platinum monofluoride, PtF.$^{23}$

In the case of the $A^2\Sigma^-$ state, which has no electronic orbital angular momentum, only the Fermi contact and dipolar contributions of Eq. (6) are relevant. The Fermi contact, $b_F$, and dipolar, $c$, parameters are defined as $^{17,24}$

$$b_F/\text{Hz} = \left( \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi h} \right) \left( \frac{8\pi}{3} \right) \frac{g_e g_N \mu_B \mu_N}{1} \sum \psi_i^2 \delta(r)/\Sigma,$$  

$$c/\text{Hz} = \left( \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi h} \right) \frac{3}{2} \frac{g_e g_N \mu_B \mu_N}{1} \sum \psi_i^2 \frac{3 \cos^2 \theta - 1}{r^3} \left( \frac{\lambda}{\Sigma} = S \right),$$

(7) 

In Eqs. (7) and (8), $\delta$ is the spin angular momentum operator for the ith electron, $\delta(r)$ is a Dirac delta function, and $r$ and $\theta$ are polar coordinates. The $\frac{8\pi}{3}$ factors in Eqs. (7) and (8) account for the fact that the effective Hamiltonian used to fit the data was written in terms of total spin and orbital angular momenta, whereas the definitions of $b_F$ and $c$ are from integrals of one-electron operators. The symmetry adapted wavefunction for a $2\Sigma^-(\pi^2\sigma)$ state is the linear combination of Slater determinants $^{25}$

$$\psi(2\Sigma^-(\pi^2\sigma)) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \langle 0 | \pi^+\pi^-\sigma | - | \pi^+\pi^-\sigma | - | \pi^+\pi^-\sigma | \rangle,$$

where, for example, $\pi^+$ is the $\pi$, $\lambda = +1$, $m_{\pi} = -1$ spin-orbital. The expectation value that appears in $b_F$ is readily obtained using the rules for evaluation of Slater determinants, $^{26}$ resulting in

$$b_F = -\frac{1}{3} \left( 95.4129 \text{ MHz/a.u.}^3 \right) g_1 \frac{8\pi}{3} \left( 3 | \sigma \delta(0) | 3 \sigma \right)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{3} \left( 95.4129 \text{ MHz/a.u.}^3 \right) \frac{8\pi}{3} | \Psi(0) |^2,$$  

(10) 

where $| \Psi(0) |^2$ is the spin-density at the Pt-nucleus, and the conversion factor, 95.4129 MHz/a.u.$^{-3}$, assumes that the unit for the expectation value is $\text{a.u.}^{-3}$ Similarly, the dipolar parameter is given as

$$c = +\frac{4}{3} \times (95.4129 \text{ MHz/a.u.}^3) \times g_1 \times \left( 2\pi \right) \frac{3 \cos^2 \theta - 1}{r^3} \left| 2\pi \right|.$$  

(11) 

Estimates for the expectation values that appear in the expressions for $b_F$ and $c$ can be derived from atomic magnetic hyperfine information if it is assumed that the $2\pi$ and $3\sigma$ orbitals are pure Pt-centered $5d_{\pm 1}$ and $6s$ orbitals, respectively. Within a single $n^2\pi^0\sigma$'s configuration (e.g., $5d^66s^1$ of Pt), the atomic magnetic hyperfine operator is $^{27,28}$

$$\hat{H}_{\text{mag}} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( \hat{I}_i \alpha_{nl}^0 \right) - (10)^{1/2} (\delta, \tilde{C}^2, \hat{\delta} \alpha_{nl}^{(12)} + \hat{\delta} \alpha_{nl}^{(10)}),$$

$$+ \alpha_{nl}^{(10)} \hat{\delta}_n \cdot \hat{I},$$  

(12) 

where $\hat{I}_i$, $(\delta, \tilde{C}^2)_i$, and $\hat{\delta}_n$ are the orbital, spin dipolar, and spin operators for the electrons with orbital angular momenta, and $\hat{\delta}_n$ is the electron spin operator for the unpaired $s$-electron. The four effective atomic hyperfine parameters, $\alpha_{nl}^0$, $\alpha_{nl}^{(12)}$, $\alpha_{nl}^{(10)}$, and $\alpha_{nl}^{(10)}$ are defined as

$$\alpha_{nl}^0 = 95.4128(\text{MHz/a.u.}^{-3}) g_1 (r^{-3})_{nl}^0,$$  

(13) 

$$\alpha_{nl}^{(10)} = 95.4128(\text{MHz/a.u.}^{-3}) g_1 (r^{-3})_{nl}^{10},$$  

(14) 

where the conversion factor assumes that the units for $(r^{-3})_{nl}^0$ and $(r^{-3})_{nl}^{10}$ are $\text{a.u.}^{-3}$. In the nonrelativistic limit, $(r^{-3})_{nl}^{10} = \frac{3}{8\pi} | \Psi(0) |^2$. Under the assumption that the $2\pi$ and $3\sigma$ orbitals are pure Pt-centered $5d_{\pm 1}$ and $6s$ orbitals, then

$$b_F = -\frac{1}{3} \left( 95.4129 \text{ MHz/a.u.}^3 \right) g_1 (r^{-3})_{nl}^{10},$$

(15) 

and

$$c = +\frac{4}{3} \times (95.4129 \text{ MHz/a.u.}^3) \frac{2}{7} (r^{-3})_{nl}^{12},$$

(16) 

The $2/7$ factor in Eq. (16) is the expectation value $(d_{\pm 1}|3\cos^2\chi - 1|d_{\pm 1})$.

Böttgenbach et al. $^{29}$ simultaneously modelled 11 atomic hyperfine A factors for levels arising from the $5d^66s^1$ and $5d^66s^2$ configurations of atomic Pt, three of which were precisely measured by atomic beam magnetic resonance (ABMR) techniques and the remaining 8 less precisely by optical spectroscopy, to determine $(r^{-3})_{nl}^{10}$, $(r^{-3})_{nl}^{12}$, $(r^{-3})_{nl}^{10}$, $(r^{-3})_{nl}^{10}$, $(r^{-3})_{nl}^{10}$, $11.01$ a.u.$^{-3}$, $4.26$ a.u.$^{-3}$, $-2.29$ a.u.$^{-3}$, and $256.7$ a.u.$^{-3}$, respectively. It was assumed that $(r^{-3})_{nl}^{12}$ for the $5d^66s^2$ and $5d^66s^1$ configurations were identical. Soon thereafter, Neu et al. $^{30}$ re-measured the optical spectrum and performed an analysis similar to that of Böttgenbach et al. $^{29}$ to determine values for $(r^{-3})_{nl}^{10}$, $(r^{-3})_{nl}^{12}$, $(r^{-3})_{nl}^{10}$, $(r^{-3})_{nl}^{10}$, and $(r^{-3})_{nl}^{10}$ of 10.51 a.u.$^{-3}$, 12.78 a.u.$^{-3}$, 0.25 a.u.$^{-3}$, and 264 a.u.$^{-3}$, respectively. An $ab$ $initio$ calculation employing an Optimized Hartree-Fock-Slater (OHSF) method predicted $(r^{-3})_{nl}^{10}$, $(r^{-3})_{nl}^{12}$, $(r^{-3})_{nl}^{10}$, and $(r^{-3})_{nl}^{10}$ of 12.389 a.u.$^{-3}$, 15.633 a.u.$^{-3}$, $-1.404$ a.u.$^{-3}$, and 273.5 a.u.$^{-3}$, respectively. The atomic values of Refs. 29–31 for $(r^{-3})_{nl}^{10}$ substituted into Eq. (15) predict a $b_F$ value of $-9952$ MHz, $-10224$ MHz, and $-10568$ MHz, respectively. Similarly, the atomic values of Refs. 29–31 for $(r^{-3})_{nl}^{12}$ substituted into Eq. (16) predict a $c$ value of $+189$ MHz, $+566$ MHz, and $+660$ MHz, respectively. The atomic value for $(r^{-3})_{nl}^{12}$ from Ref. 29 appears to be inconsistent with the other two.
The simple atoms-in-molecule prediction of a larger negative $^{195}$Pt $b_c \sim -10\ 000$ MHz is consistent with the observed value of $-8559 \pm 45$ MHz. The *ab initio* prediction\(^7\) indicates that the $(\nu = 2)$ $A^2\Sigma^{-}$ state has a dominant (84%) $\cdots 3\sigma^22\pi^2$ electron configuration, but, as mentioned above, did not include treatment of spin-orbit interaction. If it assumed that the other configurations do not contribute to $b_c$, then the simple model prediction for $b_c$ is $\sim -8400$ MHz ($= -10\ 000\ MHz \times 0.84$), suggesting that the $3\sigma$ orbital is essentially 100% $\sigma$ character. It is noteworthy that the Mulliken population analysis\(^8\) predicts a gross population for Pt(6s) of 0.862 for the $A^2\Sigma^{-}$ state.

The simple atoms-in-molecule model predicts a small, positive, $c$ parameter (\sim 600 MHz), whereas the experimentally determined value is a small, poorly determined, negative value of $-0.028 \pm 0.010$ cm\(^{-1}\) = $-840 \pm 300$ MHz. It was noted in the *ab initio* predictions\(^7,8\) that the $2\pi$ orbital is strongly polarized towards the N-center (i.e., has a major $2p$(N) contribution), which is not accounted for in the simple model prediction performed here. Furthermore, an admixture of Pt(6d\(_z\)) to the $2\pi$ orbital, which has been assumed to be a pure Pt-centered $5d\(_z\)$ in the present analysis, could produce a negative $c$ parameter because $\langle p_{\pm 1}|(3\cos^2\chi - 1)|p_{\pm 1}\rangle = -2/5$.

The $^{14}$N hyperfine parameters are very well determined for both $X^2\Pi_{1/2}$ and $A^2\Sigma^{-}$ states (see Table II). In the $X^2\Pi_{1/2}$ state, $h_{1/2}$($^{14}$N) and $d$(N) are determined to be 64 $\pm$ 2 MHz and 62 $\pm$ 3 MHz, respectively. The proposed dominant $\cdots 3\sigma^22\pi^1$ electronic configuration suggests that $b_c = 0$ and therefore $h_{1/2} = a - c3$. Assuming that the sole unpaired electron occupies the N-centered $2p_{a\pm 1}$ orbital, the $a$ parameter is given by

\[
a(X^2\Pi_{1/2})(\text{Hz}) = \left(\frac{\mu_0}{4\pi\hbar}\right) 2g_N\mu_B\mu_N \langle 2p_{\pm 1} | r^{-3} | 2p_{\pm 1}\rangle.
\]

An expression analogous to Eq. (8) was used to predict the $c$ parameter. Assuming that the $2\pi$ orbital is a pure N-centered $2p_{a\pm 1}$ and using the *ab initio* predicted value of 3.0997 a.u.\(^{-3}\) for $(2p|r^{-3}2p)$ given in Ref. \(32\), then $a(X^2\Pi_{1/2})$ and $c(X^2\Pi_{1/2})$ parameters are calculated to be 118 MHz and $-81$ MHz, respectively. Combining $c$ and $a$, the simple molecular orbital model predicts that $h_{1/2}$($^{14}$N) = 144 MHz. The predicted Mulliken population analysis\(^8\) indicates that the $2\pi$ orbital is only 36% of the N-centered $2p_{a\pm 1}$ orbital in the bonding. Thus, the atoms-in-molecule prediction, coupled with the *ab initio* Mulliken population, gives $h_{1/2}$($^{14}$N) of 52 MHz, which is in good agreement with the observed value of 63 MHz. Basically, the simple model predicts a small positive value for $h_{1/2}$($^{14}$N) for the $X^2\Pi_{1/2}$ state, which is consistent with the observation.

The $^{14}$N hyperfine parameters $b_c$ and $c$ for the $A^2\Sigma^{-}$ state are determined to be $20 \pm 2$ MHz and $-58 \pm 6$ MHz, respectively. The proposed dominant $\cdots 3\sigma^12\pi^2$ configuration for the $A^2\Sigma^{-}$ state would, according to Eq. (10), predict a small negative value for $b_c$ if the $3\sigma$ had a significant contribution from either the N-centered $2s$ or $3s$ orbitals. The observed small positive value can be rationalized as spin-polarization of the Pt-N bond by the Pt-centered unpaired electrons. Using Eq. (11), the *ab initio* predicted value of $3.0997$ a.u.\(^{-3}\) for $(2p|r^{-3}2p)$ from Ref. \(32\), and $\langle p_{\pm 1}|(3\cos^2\chi - 1)|p_{\pm 1}\rangle = -2/5$, $a$ is predicted to be $-64$ MHz. A comparison with the observed value of $-58 \pm 6$ MHz suggests that the $2\pi$ orbital is strongly polarized towards the N-center (i.e., has a major $2p$(N) contribution) as noted in the *ab initio* predictions\(^7,8\). Basically, the simple model predicts a small negative $c$($^{14}$N) for the $A^2\Sigma^{-}$ state, which is not consistent with the observation.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The electronic structure properties of PtN have been well characterized by both intracavity laser spectroscopy and high-resolution molecular beam laser induced fluorescence. For the ground state, the determined $X^2\Pi_{1/2}$ parameters are in good agreement with the previous measurement.\(^7\) The $^{14}$N hyperfine parameters were determined experimentally for the first time. A simple molecular-orbital-based model predicts a small positive value for effective magnetic hyperfine parameter $h_{1/2}$($^{14}$N) for the $X^2\Pi_{1/2}$ state, which is consistent with observation.

The excited state associated with the near infrared electronic transition has been assigned as the $\nu = 2$ level of the $A^2\Sigma^{-}$ electronic state. The origin of this state is not well predicted by the previous electronic structure calculation.\(^8\) The $^{195}$Pt and $^{14}$N magnetic hyperfine interactions in the $\nu = 2$ $A^2\Sigma^{-}$ state have been determined and used in a simple “atoms-in-molecule” model to garner insight into the nature of the $A^2\Sigma^{-}$ electronic state. The hyperfine parameters are consistent with a dominant $\cdots 3\sigma^11\pi^22\pi^11\delta^4$ configuration for the $A^2\Sigma^{-}$ electronic state. A comparison of the observed $b_p$($^{195}$Pt) and $c$($^{14}$N) magnetic hyperfine parameters with those predicted by the simple model suggest that the $3\sigma$ orbital has 82% Pt-centered $6s$ character and the $2\pi$ orbital is nearly pure N-centered, which is in qualitative agreement with the molecular orbital correlation diagram and the *ab initio* predictions.\(^7,8\)

Magnetic hyperfine interactions are the most sensitive probe of the electronic wavefunction, particularly in the region of the nuclei with non-zero spin. Hence, a comparison of experimentally derived values with those predicted from *ab initio* calculations is an ideal method for assessing various computational methodologies. Predicting the values for the magnetic hyperfine parameters determined in the present study for the simple, two nuclear spin, diatomic molecule PtN should be the goal of future electronic structure studies.
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