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ABSTRACT

Background: The assessment of alcohol consumption during a drinking bout, known as drinking topography, may help improve understanding of biopsychosocial mechanisms underlying alcohol consumption. However, past studies have been limited by effort-intensive, time-consuming, and error-prone processes involved in collecting, organizing, and standardizing drinking topography data. Recent technologies allowing integrated data collection and greater environmental control, such as virtual reality (VR), could resolve these problems.

Methods: In this pilot project, we assessed alcohol consumption topography of participants in a VR drinking environment with a programmable virtual confederate (i.e., bar goer) during two testing sessions. In one, the confederate drank quickly (30–60 s sip interval). In the other, the confederate drank slowly (60–120 s sip interval). Participants’ hands and beverage were represented in VR. Between sips, beverages were placed on a Bluetooth-enabled scale, allowing real-time updates of drink weight. Participant experience was assessed after each testing visit. Multilevel modeling was used to characterize the effect of confederation condition on sip interval and sip volume. Descriptive analyses were used for participant experience data.

Results: Results showed significant, moderate-to-strong between-visit correlations for topographic measures (r = 0.50 to r = 0.84) and indicate participants found the experience to be comfortable and acceptable. Multilevel models indicated participants had greater sip volumes and lower sip intervals when the confederate drank quickly.

Conclusions: Future studies should take advantage of the considerable translational value of this technology to improve understanding of risk associated with individual drinking bouts and develop novel interventions for reducing hazardous drinking.

1. Introduction

Excessive alcohol use is a significant health problem in the United States, resulting in the deaths of more than 95,000 people annually and resulting in upwards of $250 billion in workplace productivity and health care expenses each year (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), 2019). 26.5% of U.S. adults aged 18 years and older report past-month binge drinking, with the highest rates among adults aged 18–25 (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), 2020; SAMHSA, 2018). Future prevention and clinical intervention techniques will benefit from greater insight into the biopsychosocial factors influencing both harmful and protective drinking behaviors.

1.1. Drinking topography

Measurement of the microstructure of drinking behavior during drinking bouts, or ‘drinking topography’, provides a means to characterize biopsychosocial determinants of drinking behavior (Caudill and Marlatt, 1975; Collins et al., 1985). Most studies of the biopsychosocial risks associated with excessive alcohol consumption use broad measures, such as typical daily alcohol intake and binge frequency. However, the rate at which an individual consumes alcohol during a given bout can also be an important determinant of outcomes because the rate at which one drinks can impact their rate-of-rise of blood alcohol concentration (BAC) and overall peak BAC (Carpenter and Merrill, 2021). These factors, in turn, impact the subjective response, degree of impairment, and biobehavioral/sociolegal risks associated with alcohol...
intake (de Wit et al., 1990; Fillmore and Vogel-Sprott, 1998). Inter- and intra-individual variability in drinking topography has similar implications, exemplified by the difference between chugging and sipping a beer. Drinking topography studies can also provide important insights in the impact of social context on drinking behavior. Macro-scale social network studies suggest peer interactions can have an important influence on individual risk for heavy drinking and associated consequences (Barnett et al., 2014; Kenney et al., 2018). In a seminal drinking topography study (Caudill and Marlatt, 1975), participants drank wine at their own pace with a confederate who attempted to drink at a faster or slower predetermined rate. Participants drank significantly more, and more rapidly, when the confederate drank quickly.

Despite its importance, research into drinking topography has been sporadic, hampered by costly environmental manipulations, difficulties standardizing confederate behavior, and effortful data collection. Prior drinking topography studies have used physical simulated bars (i.e., laboratory spaces resembling a bar or pub, including a bar top, taps, stools, tables, etc.; Caudill and Marlatt, 1975; Collins et al., 1985; Garlington and Derico, 1977). Although simulated bars are the standard for drinking topography studies, they are very expensive and difficult to standardize across research sites.

Ensuring consistent confederate behavior in drinking topography studies is another substantial challenge. In the ‘fast’ condition of the Caudill and Marlatt (1975) study, the confederate drank 700 mL of wine over 15 min. This process is difficult to standardize across sessions and burdensome for research staff. Meanwhile, the process of calculating drinking topography measures is time-consuming and potentially error-prone, requiring manual coding from video recordings (Collins and Marlatt, 1985). Furthermore, because some topography variables must be calculated from others (e.g., sip volume is determined by dividing the total volume consumed by the number of sips), analyzes of changes in drinking topography within a bout cannot be conducted. Therefore, although drinking topography studies have great promise for informing the impact of biopsychosocial factors on drinking behavior and associated risks, they would benefit substantially from technological innovation.

Meanwhile, research regarding cigarette smoking has benefited from the development of telemetric devices allowing detailed assessment of smoking topography, including expired air carbon monoxide levels, depth of puff, puff frequency, and puff duration (Burling et al., 1985; Kashinsky et al., 1995). With these devices, clinically relevant insights have been uncovered regarding biopsychosocial influences on smoking habits, including affect, nicotine withdrawal symptomatology, alcohol craving, and mental illness on smoking topography (Green et al., 2016; Rosenberry et al., 2018; Denlinger-Apte et al., 2020). These studies have also provided insights regarding social influences on smoking behavior and a better understanding of psychological processes that can reinforce harmful smoking behaviors and hamper interventions (Dimoff and Sayette, 2017). Unfortunately, a telemetric device capable of collecting data related to drinking topography has not yet been developed.

1.2. Virtual reality technology

Since its inception in 1968, virtual reality (VR) technology has advanced from simple simulations to much more sophisticated environments enabling the study of environmental and social impacts on human behavior (Virtual Reality Society (VRS), 2017), supporting a boom in its clinical and research-oriented applications, including in gaming, military training, surgical simulations, exposure therapies, and physical rehabilitation (Cipresso et al., 2018). Modern VR involves wearing a headset with two screens close to the eyes, displaying an environment to the wearer while tracking their head and hand movements. This tracking component is vital to engagement in VR; as the participant moves their head, their perspective of the environment shifts to create an immersive experience. The inherent immersion and high degree of environmental control inherent in VR allows standardization of environmental and contextual variables to a previously unattainable level (Garrett et al., 2018).

1.3. Potential utility of a virtual bar

Despite its promise, no studies have yet attempted to use VR technology to advance the assessment of drinking topography. The primary aim of the current study was to develop and establish feasibility of a novel VR-based platform capable of directly measuring drinking topography, including sip volume, sip frequency, and inter-sip interval. We hypothesized that participants would find the experience acceptable (e.g., comfortable, adequately realistic/immersive, and without dizziness or nausea) and that the platform would enable accurate, semi-automated assessment of drinking topography. As a secondary aim, we included the presence of a programmable virtual confederate and manipulated the rate at which it displayed a drinking animation, creating virtual high-consumption and low-consumption conditions. Consistent with Caudill and Marlatt (1975), we hypothesized that manipulating the ‘sip interval’ of this virtual confederate across the two conditions would affect participants’ drinking topography, including sip interval and sip volume.

2. Methods and materials

The present study was a pilot development and feasibility project assessing the potential utility of a novel VR drinking paradigm. The overall design of the study can be found in Fig. 1. This study was approved by the University of Florida Institutional Review Board. All participants provided written informed consent prior to data collection.

2.1. Developing the virtual bar

We worked with an experienced video game developer (Dust Productions, LLC, Gainesville, FL, USA) to develop a novel VR-based platform using the Unity game engine (Unity Technologies, Copenhagen, Denmark). The research team met on a weekly basis with Dust Productions’ lead programmer to establish development priorities and provide feedback on design elements.

The resulting Integrated Topography and Consumption Tracking in VR (INTACT VR) platform was developed and tested for the HTC Vive Pro Eye Virtual Reality headset (HTC Corporation, New Taipei City, Taiwan), which included capabilities for gaze tracking via an integrated eye tracking system (Tobii, LLC, Stockholm, Sweden). The program was run using an Alienware Aurora R7 PC (Dell, Inc., Round Rock, United States) with a VR-ready graphics processing unit (NVIDIA® GeForce 1080).

INTACT VR allows participants to rate visual analog scales presented over the headset at fixed intervals using an HTC Vive Controller, while two HTC Vive Trackers, strapped to the participants’ wrist and beverage, allow participants’ hand and beverage to be represented in the virtual environment. Participants place their beverage on a coaster positioned on a Skale 2 brand scale (Atomax Inc., Taipei City, Taiwan) set into the table, allowing real-time updates of drink weight via Bluetooth.

INTACT VR also includes numerous customizable settings (Fig. S1) used to change variables relevant to data collection and the virtual environment, including Participant ID; background music; confederate gender, intersip interval, and sip duration; and visual analog scale (VAS) settings (instructions, anchors, and display frequency). Once begun, the program displays an empty tutorial room space (Fig. S2) on the headset, within which participants familiarize themselves with the experience and controls. There are also options allowing scale syncing, recalibration of the scale location in the virtual environment, and presentation of a pre-recorded tutorial for participants to practice VAS ratings. Controls are visible only to research staff facilitating participant sessions.
2.2. Participants

Once a stable version of INTACT VR was ready for testing, we recruited 25 adult participants from the local community via flyers and word of mouth. All participants were regular drinkers (i.e., consumed ≥ 1 alcohol-containing beverage per month over the past six months). Exclusion criteria were: 1) outside the ages of 21–45, 2) history of current major depression or history of major depressive disorder if electroconvulsive therapy had been used, 3) history of any psychotic disorder, 4) under-controlled hypertension or diabetes, 5) neurological disease (e.g., multiple sclerosis, epilepsy, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, Parkinson’s disease), 6) serious medical illness (e.g., hepatitis, HIV/AIDS), 7) impaired cognitive function, 8) current or past drug or alcohol dependence, or 9) being alcohol naïve. These criteria were determined by participant self-report. Use of prescription and over the counter (OTC) medication was allowed provided alcohol use was not contraindicated (Boissoneault et al., 2014; Gilbertson et al., 2009).

2.3. Study sessions

Screening took place during the first study session in a private examination room. Participants completed screening measures including the Beck Depression Inventory-II (BDI-II; Beck et al., 1996), the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI; Spielberger, 1983), and the Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test (AUDIT; Saunders et al., 1993). The Alcohol Use Questionnaire (AUQ), which measures typical alcohol use pattern, was derived and adapted from published literature by the UF Neurocognitive Laboratory (SJ Nixon, Director; Cahalan et al., 1969).

Qualifying participants were then scheduled for two testing sessions. During their two testing sessions, participants experienced the INTACT VR program. Participants confirmed that they met the following testing criteria before each visit: 1) refrained from any tobacco use for 1 h and alcohol use for 24 h, 2) fasted for 4 h, and 3) absence of any pain, headache, or nausea. Participants ate a meal replacement bar at the beginning of the session (~250 kcal). They also completed urine-based drug and pregnancy screenings, and baseline breath alcohol concentration (BrAC) testing using a standard breathalyzer (Intoxilyzer 800, Kurz, Fort, Kansas). In the “fast” testing condition, BrAC was assessed periodically until it was ≤ 0.02 g/dL, at which point participants were provided lunch; post-session participant BrAC was assessed periodically until it was ≤ 0.02 g/dL, at which point participants were transported home via rideshare service.

2.4. Testing procedure

In both testing sessions, participants completed a short (<10 min) VR familiarization procedure, during which they viewed the tutorial room (Fig. S2). The study facilitator adjusted the headset to the participant’s comfort. Participants then completed eye tracking calibration; practiced picking up and placing an unopened bottle on the scale/coaster; and an automated VAS tutorial, during which participants were made familiar with the VIVE Pro controller and asked to rate their baseline level of intoxication (see Supplemental methods for script).

Participants then chose a bottled beverage: either Yuengling Traditional Lager (Yuengling & Son, Pottsville, PA) or Angry Orchard Hard Cider (Boston Beer Co., Walden, NY). Both beverages contained 5% alcohol by volume. Participants were instructed to 1) drink at their own pace, 2) place the bottle on the scale whenever they were not drinking, and 3) remain seated. Participants were informed that research staff would be monitoring the visit via webcam, though the session would not be recorded; that they could communicate any needs with research staff by speaking aloud; and that they could end the experience at any time, if desired. Finally, research staff opened the bottle, placed it on the scale, and began the virtual bar program before leaving the room.

While in the virtual bar environment, a playlist of popular music from the 1970s to 2010s played over the headset in pseudo-random order counterbalanced across visits. Participants provided self-ratings of subjective intoxication (from ‘not at all intoxicated’ to ‘the most intoxicated imaginable’) on a 0–100 visual analog scale (VAS; Fig. S3). In addition to baseline ratings, ratings were acquired every five minutes and immediately upon leaving the bar environment.

If the participant completed their first beverage before an hour had passed, they were given water to rinse their mouth and provided a breath sample to determine their BrAC. Participants were then asked if they would like to continue with another beverage. The session concluded after the participant completed two beverages or when they had been in the virtual bar for an hour, whichever occurred first. After exiting the bar, participants were asked to complete a Participant Experience Questionnaire (PEQ; adapted from Olbrecht et al., 2020). This measure involved a brief set of Likert scales regarding their VR experience, including adequacy of orientation to the experience, comfort, willingness to participate in future INTACT VR, and level of immersion.

Finally, participants were provided lunch; post-session participant BrAC was assessed periodically until it was ≤ 0.02 g/dL, at which point participants were transported home via rideshare service.

2.5. Experimental conditions

During each testing session, participants experienced one of two experimental conditions determined by the pre-programmed actions of a gender-matched virtual confederate seated at the bar in the participant’s line of sight (Fig. 2). In both conditions, the confederate would periodically display a simple animation during which they ‘drank’ from the bottle in their hands. Testing conditions differed in the frequency at which this animation played. In the “fast” testing condition, the confederate drank every 30–60 ss, consistent with a drinking pace of roughly 90 oz per hour, or 7.5 standard drinks (The University of Kansas, KU, 2020). In the “slow” condition, the confederate displayed one drink animation every 60–120 s. Although the confederate’s sip interval was randomly determined within the ranges above, the same random seed was used for all participants to ensure consistency. Condition order was counterbalanced across participants.
accounted for the fact that we had repeated assessments of alcohol consumption that were unevenly spaced across time and nested within person. Degrees of freedom were calculated using the Kenward-Roger approximation. We conducted two models, one with sip interval (seconds) and one with sip volume (grams) as the outcome. Primary predictors were confederate condition (fast- vs. slow-consumption), time since the beginning of the drinking session (given relevant evidence from the smoking literature; Veilleux et al., 2011), and their interaction. Gender was included as a covariate to adjust for gender-related drinking differences. Models included a person-level random intercept and a random slope for time since the beginning of the drinking session.

3. Results

Twenty-five participants (Mage = 24.88 [SD = 3.574] years, 17 female) completed the study. Of these, 17 participants self-identified as White, three as Asian, and five as multiple or other races. Eight participants self-identified as Hispanic/Latinx. Participants were highly educated (Medu = 17.44 [SD = 2.311] years, range 14–23).

3.1. Screening measures

Participant responses on the BDI-II indicated minimal depressive symptomatology (MBDI = 3.52 [SD = 3.124], range 0–13); on the STAI, participants reported minimal anxiety (MSTAI = 27.48 [SD = 6.494], MSTrait = 33.72 [SD = 8.178]). AUDIT scores were below the standard cutoff (>8) for hazardous drinking (M = 5.32 [SD = 2.155]). Mean Quantity-Frequency Index (QFI; MQFI = 0.504 [SD = 0.495]) and Maximum Quantity Index (maxQ; MmaxQ = 3.832 [SD = 1.815]) derived from the AUQ indicated that, on average, participants consumed ~1 standard drink per day and a maximum of ~6 standard drinks at one time during the past six months. Complete screening data can be found in Table 1.

3.2. Drinking topography

Although all participants completed both testing sessions, a physical malfunction with the scale that necessitated its replacement resulted in five participants being excluded from topographic analysis. For the

2.6. The Virtual Reality Bar Toolbox

We used a custom algorithm (“the Virtual Reality Bar (ViRB) Toolbox”) to visually represent drinking topography and calculate key variables for analysis. The algorithm identified periods of consistent scale weight and periods of rapid change. The toolbox graphed scale weight data over time and marked the start of drink instances with an asterisk. Sample data is presented in Fig. S4.

Drinking topography variables were then calculated. Sip interval, the time in seconds between drink instances, was calculated by taking the difference in time from the end of one drink instance to the beginning of the next. Average sip interval was determined by taking the mean of these values, while total time drinking was determined by taking their sum. Sip volume, the amount of the beverage a participant drinks each sip in grams, was calculated by taking the difference in weight from one drink instance to the next. Average sip volume was determined by taking the mean of these values, while total sip volume was calculated by taking their sum. Finally, the total sip number was calculated by subtracting one from the total number of drink instances to account for initial placement of the beverage on the scale.

For each session, research staff carefully reviewed the graphical output by the ViRB Toolbox. In seven instances, the algorithm failed to accurately represent observed drinking behavior. Specifically, it did not correctly identify the start of these sips due to rapid shifts in recorded weight that were unrelated to the participant lifting their beverage off of the scale (e.g., shaking the table). These instances were manually corrected by research assistants (VS and NB), who visually identified the correct “start” points. To ensure veracity of topographic data, a subset of participants (n = 9) had sip counts manually verified using drink logs kept by research assistants remotely observing drinking sessions. An almost perfect correlation was found between observed and calculated sip counts (r = 0.994). For every discrepancy, the observed count was lower than the calculated count, suggesting research assistants had missed sip instances and supporting the usefulness of INTACT VR for improving accuracy of drinking topography assessment.

2.7. Analysis strategy

To examine whether the fast- vs. slow-consumption virtual confederate impacted participants’ drinking topography, we used multilevel modeling (MLM) with restricted maximum likelihood estimation via the PROC MIXED procedure in SAS® 9.4 (SAS Institute, 2014). MLM accounted for the fact that we had repeated assessments of alcohol consumption that were unevenly spaced across time and nested within person. Degrees of freedom were calculated using the Kenward-Roger approximation. We conducted two models, one with sip interval (seconds) and one with sip volume (grams) as the outcome. Primary predictors were confederate condition (fast- vs. slow-consumption), time since the beginning of the drinking session (given relevant evidence from the smoking literature; Veilleux et al., 2011), and their interaction. Gender was included as a covariate to adjust for gender-related drinking differences. Models included a person-level random intercept and a random slope for time since the beginning of the drinking session.
remaining 20 participants, the INTACT VR program and the ViRB Toolbox algorithm allowed the depiction of drinking topography data in easily interpretable graphs (see Fig. S5 for example). Results showed significant between-visit correlations for the average sip interval (r = 0.50, p < .02), total time drinking (r = 0.52, p = .02), average sip volume (r = 0.76, p < .001) and total number of sips (r = 0.84, p < .001). These correlations suggest moderate-to-strong consistency in drinking topography across sessions, even in the context of experimental manipulation (see Table 2).

We next examined the impact of the virtual confederate condition on sip interval and sip volume. For sip interval, MLM indicated a significant interaction between confederate condition and time since beginning the session (b = 1.20, 95% CI = [−1.20, −0.6], p < .001). As seen in Fig. 3, simple slopes revealed sip interval increased (i.e., drinking rate slowed) over time in both conditions. This increase was significantly smaller in the fast-drinking condition (b = 1.20, 95% CI = [0.60, 1.80], p = .004) compared to the slow-drinking condition (b = 1.80, 95% CI = [1.20, 3.00], p < .001).

For sip volume, MLM indicated a significant interaction between condition and time since beginning the session (b = 0.18, 95% CI = [0.048, 0.30], p = .006). As seen in Fig. 4, simple slopes revealed that the sip volume did not change over time in the fast-drinking condition

### Table 1
Screening data (n = 25).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Mean (SD)</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td>24.88 (3.574)</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td></td>
<td>17.44 (2.311)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDI</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.52 (3.124)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STAI State</td>
<td></td>
<td>27.48 (6.494)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STAI Trait</td>
<td></td>
<td>33.72 (8.178)</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUDIT</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.32 (2.155)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QFI (oz absolute ethanol/day)</td>
<td>Slow</td>
<td>.5043 (4.947)</td>
<td>.114</td>
<td>2.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QFI (oz absolute ethanol/day)</td>
<td>Fast</td>
<td>.5043 (4.947)</td>
<td>.114</td>
<td>2.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max QFI (oz absolute ethanol/day)</td>
<td>Slow</td>
<td>3.8319 (1.8150)</td>
<td>.72</td>
<td>8.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max QFI (oz absolute ethanol/day)</td>
<td>Fast</td>
<td>3.8319 (1.8150)</td>
<td>.72</td>
<td>8.64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2
Topographic data (n = 20).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Mean (SD)</th>
<th>Correlation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sip Interval (s)</td>
<td>Slow</td>
<td>90.397 (29.44)</td>
<td>r = .50, p = .02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fast</td>
<td>92.107 (32.41)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Time Drinking (s)</td>
<td>Slow</td>
<td>2743.924 (863.35)</td>
<td>r = .52, p = .02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fast</td>
<td>2323.444 (863.33)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sip Volume (g)</td>
<td>Slow</td>
<td>24.056 (11.32)</td>
<td>r = .76, p = .00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fast</td>
<td>24.890 (12.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Sip Volume (g)</td>
<td>Slow</td>
<td>588.585 (192.33)</td>
<td>r = .09, p = .72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fast</td>
<td>547.585 (183.51)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Sip Number</td>
<td>Slow</td>
<td>28.55 (11.43)</td>
<td>r = .84, p = .00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fast</td>
<td>26.70 (11.38)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2 The simple slope for the slow-drinking condition (reference condition) equated to the main effect for time. There was also a main effect for sip interval (b = 11.24, 95% CI = [0.21, 22.27], p = .046), which indicated that participants had a lower sip interval in the slow-drinking confederate condition at the very beginning of the drinking session. Gender was not significant (b = −8.00, 95% CI = [−17.42, 1.41], p = .096).

3 The simple slope for the slow-drinking condition (reference condition) equated to the main effect for time. There was also a main effect for sip volume (b = −3.49, 95% CI = [−6.10, −0.87], p = .009), which indicated that participants had a larger sip volume in the slow-drinking confederate condition at the very beginning of the drinking session.
another study involving the virtual reality bar". Participants also endorsed an average rating of 4.6 on a 7-point scale asking their “sense of being in the virtual environment” (0 = not at all, 7 = very much) and an average rating of 3.4 on a similar scale rating the extent of times “when the virtual environment was real to you”.

For 82% of Testing Visits, participants felt that the length of time they spent in the virtual environment was acceptable. When prompted for suggestions to improve future experiences, participants requested more opportunities for interaction, such as the presence of others in the bar, changes in scenery, or bar games. Detailed experience data can be found in Tables 3 and 4.

4. Discussion

4.1. Feasibility and acceptability of the virtual bar

To our knowledge, this study demonstrates the first assessment of drinking topography using VR. As hypothesized, participants found the INTACT VR experience acceptable. Although it is expected that nausea and dizziness may occur in VR environments, few participants reported these issues in the current work, and almost all were willing to participate in similar studies in the future. Drinking topography measures were highly repeatable within participants. In addition to being able to measure volumes of individual sips, sip counts derived from INTACT VR were highly consistent with - and even more accurate than - research assistants’ observed counts. Together, results suggest that INTACT VR is capable of successfully recording drinking topography. Furthermore, this data is precise to a level previously cumbersome or impossible to attain. In aggregate, participants provided neutral-to-positive ratings of realism and immersion. Although perfect realism and immersion are likely not required for INTACT VR to facilitate drinking topography studies, participants provided useful suggestions regarding areas of improvement for future iterations, including additional interactive elements.

Results point to several strengths of this approach. The first major strength is the use of VR to facilitate data collection. By taking advantage of a standardized VR environment, investigators could expose participants to identical virtual drinking environments across sites regardless of geographic location. In contrast, multi-site studies are advantageous on drinking topography, we believe the INTACT VR platform has considerable translational potential to facilitate investigation of biopsychosocial mechanisms underlying drinking and help develop interventions reducing alcohol-related risks. Studies of the effect of pain on drinking topography could help characterize antecedents for hazardous alcohol use, while others might explore real-time feedback in VR to promote lower risk drinking behavior among at-risk populations.

Researchers interested in applying INTACT VR in their own work should contact the corresponding author to obtain the platform, which is available under an open-source license. We will provide the platform free of charge to not-for-profit researchers and assist with implementation.

Role of Funding Source

This study was funded by the Center for Pain Research and Behavioral Health at the University of Florida.

Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participant experience data, questions 1–4 (n = 25, across both visits).</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Standard deviation</th>
<th>1 – Strongly disagree (%)</th>
<th>2 – Disagree (%)</th>
<th>3 – Agree (%)</th>
<th>4 – Strongly agree (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. The instructions I received prior to my virtual reality experience were helpful and adequate</td>
<td>3.92</td>
<td>.274</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. The equipment felt comfortable during my virtual reality experience</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>.718</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. I would willingly participate in another study involving the virtual reality bar</td>
<td>3.74</td>
<td>.600</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. I felt immersed in my virtual reality experience</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>.767</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* n = 24 due to missing data.

4.2. Impact of the virtual confederate

The impact of time in the environment on participant drinking topography (i.e., gradual increases in sip interval and decreases in sip volume) was found to be significantly moderated by confederate drinking condition. This effect was stronger in the slow condition, where participants took an additional 1.8 s between sips for every minute in the environment vs. 1.2 s in the fast condition. Similarly, sip volume declined by 0.24 g per minute in the slow condition but did not significantly change in the fast condition. These results are consistent with those of prior studies of both drinking and smoking topography, which have identified a) significant effects of confederate drinking on drinking topography (Caudill and Marlatt, 1975); and b) that topography tends to become less “intense” over the course of a use episode (e.g., Veilleux et al., 2011). Critically, they also demonstrate that drinking topography measures indexed by INTACT VR are sensitive to experimental manipulations. Participant and virtual confederate gender were matched in this study to better replicate the methods of Caudill and Marlatt (1975), who included only male participants and confederates. However, future studies of social influences on drinking using INTACT VR should carefully consider potential impacts of the gender and appearance of the virtual confederate given individual variability in participant sociodemographic characteristics, including sexual preference.

5. Conclusion

Taken together, results establish feasibility and acceptability of a novel virtual reality platform for the measurement of drinking topography. Future studies should build on this foundation to increase the realism and immersion of the simulation and include a larger and more diverse sample, given that the young and relatively healthy participants included in this initial study may restrict generalizability of the results. Future studies could manipulate confederate characteristics besides drinking rate, including race, ethnicity, age, socioeconomic status, gender, and weight to enable nuanced investigations of social influences on drinking topography. We believe the INTACT VR platform has considerable translational potential to facilitate investigation of biopsychosocial mechanisms underlying drinking and help develop interventions reducing alcohol-related risks. Studies of the effect of pain on drinking topography could help characterize antecedents for hazardous alcohol use, while others might explore real-time feedback in VR to promote lower risk drinking behavior among at-risk populations.

Researchers interested in applying INTACT VR in their own work should contact the corresponding author to obtain the platform, which is available under an open-source license. We will provide the platform free of charge to not-for-profit researchers and assist with implementation.
Table 4
Participant experience data, questions 5-14 (n = 25, across both visits).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Standard deviation</th>
<th>1 – Strongly disagree (%)</th>
<th>2 – Disagree (%)</th>
<th>3 – Neither Agree nor Disagree (%)</th>
<th>4 – Agree (%)</th>
<th>5 – Strongly Agree (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5. I had the sense that I returned from a journey</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>1.216</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. I vividly remember some parts of the experience</td>
<td>4.32</td>
<td>1.096</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. I felt myself ‘drawn in’</td>
<td>3.49</td>
<td>1.063</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. I felt involved</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>1.250</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. I lost track of time</td>
<td>3.92</td>
<td>1.066</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. I felt that the characters and/or objects could almost touch me</td>
<td>2.96</td>
<td>1.160</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. I felt I was visiting the places in the virtual environment*</td>
<td>3.73</td>
<td>1.132</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. I felt that the virtual environment was part of the real world</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>1.471</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. My experience was intense</td>
<td>2.58</td>
<td>1.144</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. I paid more attention to the virtual environment than I did to my own thoughts</td>
<td>3.04</td>
<td>1.399</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* n = 24 due to missing data.
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