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Abstract

**Problem:** Vestibular disorders disrupt everyday living and can cause reduced quality of life. Guidelines developed to screen for vestibular disorders are not efficient to use in the emergency department and are not utilized by some providers due to lack of confidence and training. Patients who present to the emergency department with vestibular disorder symptoms are often left undiagnosed, therefore leading to lack of referrals for vestibular therapy (VT).

**Methods:** A vestibular screening tool (VST) was introduced to a convenience sample of providers working in an urgent care setting. This quality improvement project analyzed quantitative descriptive data, both retrospective and prospective, comparing the number of VT referrals in patients with a vestibular disorder diagnosis. This project also compared provider confidence levels to diagnosis and refer patients with vestibular disorders.

**Results:** Comparison of the number of VT referrals made from the retrospective (n=121, 30.58%) and prospective (n=126, 34.92%) data suggest no significant relationship. There was a significant difference in the mean rank for provider confidence level from before and after the VST was introduced (U=838, z = -1.98, p = 0.047).

**Implications for Practice:** Introduction of a VST in an urgent care setting can increase provider confidence level in diagnosing patients with a vestibular disorder and referring to VT.
Vestibular disorders are described as a loss of control of balance and eye movements that cause dizziness, vertigo, and imbalance. Common diagnoses of vestibular disorders include, but are not limited to, benign paroxysmal positional vertigo, vestibular migraine, labyrinthitis, and Meniere’s disease (Vestibular Disorders Association, 2016). When the sensory information from the inner ear and brain is distorted due to disease or injury, it can cause dizziness and lead to an imbalance (Vestibular Disorders Association, 2018). Benign positional vertigo is the most common vestibular disorder causing imbalance and affects people of all ages but is more common in those over the age of 60 (Baydan, et al., 2020; Jahn, 2019).

The consequences of untreated vestibular disorders at any age can cause serious injuries due to falls and can have both direct and indirect economic burdens. A systematic review of literature by Kovacs et al. (2019) evaluated and compared both the direct and indirect economic burdens of vertigo. The researchers described the direct impact to include a high rate of emergency room visits utilizing expensive diagnostic tools, specialty consults and hospitalizations. When left untreated, vertigo’s indirect burden disrupts everyday living and leads to loss of days at work, anxiety, and reduced quality of life (Kovacs et al., 2019; Ozdemir et al., 2021). These consequences highlight the need for accurate diagnoses and treatment for vestibular disorders.

Emergency room visits with a chief complaint of vertigo or dizziness make up 4% of annual emergency room visits (Saber Tehrani et al., 2018). Unfortunately, when vertiginous patients visit the emergency room, one in seven leave without a diagnosis, directions for follow up care or a referral for vestibular therapy (Muller et al., 2020). Patients seek care in the emergency room because they consider their medical need is
urgent, that they will get higher quality care or due to lack of access to a primary care provider (Vogel et al., 2019). Chiao et al. (2022) discovered when patients seek care for dizziness, they do not have confidence in the provider’s ability to correctly diagnose and treat their dizziness. Maihoub et al. (2020) uncovered data to support these patients’ concerns after analyzing emergency room discharge diagnoses that show only 26% of vertiginous patients received a correct diagnosis and 24% did not receive a correct diagnosis. These studies place emphasis on the question of why there is a low percentage of correct diagnoses or no diagnoses at all.

Provider training and confidence are the prominent reasons for misdiagnosing or simply not diagnosing vestibular disorders (Muller et al., 2020; Stephan et al., 2018). Stephan et al. interviewed primary care providers and found the common themes included lack of training and skills to correctly diagnose a vestibular disorder but also cited the lack of clear and concise formal guidelines. Recent research has revealed that even emergency room providers, who have more training and diagnostic tools, have a low accuracy of diagnosing vestibular disorders after ruling out deadly etiology due to lack of efficient guidelines (Muller et al., 2020). Stewart et al. (2022) implemented and evaluated vertigo clinical pathways in the emergency department which significantly improved efficiency and quality of care but did not ensure outpatient follow-up. Stewart et al. (2015) also developed a vestibular screening tool to be used in the emergency department that was more concise and efficient compared to the exceedingly long and detailed questionnaire utilized in an inpatient setting. The vestibular screening tool (VST) is a 4-item verbal questionnaire developed to identify a vestibular disorder and will indicate, based on the score, if the patient qualifies for a vestibular therapy referral.
Currently there is no screening tool being utilized in the urgent care setting to correctly identify vestibular disorders in adults who present with dizziness, therefore leading to a lack of referrals to vestibular therapy. The purpose of this project was to implement the VST in the urgent care setting to identify vestibular disorders and use the score to prompt a referral to vestibular therapy. The Plan-Do-Study-Act (PDSA) was the theoretical framework that guided this quality improvement project (Institute for Healthcare Improvement [IHI], 2022). The aim of the project was to implement the VST to identify vestibular disorders and increase the number of referrals to vestibular therapy by 50% over 8 weeks.

Primary outcome measures included the number of times the VST was used in the urgent care in patients who presented with a chief complaint of dizziness who received a diagnosis of a nonemergent vestibular disorder and how many referrals were made to vestibular therapy. Secondary outcome measure was the confidence level of the urgent care providers to correctly identify a nonemergent vestibular disorder before and after implementation of the VST. The study question used to guide the literature review: What is the effect of implementing a VST in an urgent care?

**Literature Review**

PubMed, Medline, CINAHL, and Ovid were used to complete a search of the most recent evidence and research involving vestibular disorder screening tools and barriers to vestibular therapy. Peer reviewed journal articles from 2017-2022 were searched using keywords and Boolean operators vestibular disorder OR vertigo OR dizziness AND screening tools OR test OR assessments AND emergency room OR emergency department. A total of 1,489 articles were found and after including adult only
focus and in the English language, 534 articles were found and filtered to include only articles from peer reviewed journals which resulted in 185 articles that were reviewed by the abstracts with 11 of those articles selected for final inclusion (Appendix A).

Research themes found in the literature search pertaining to vestibular symptoms and disorders suggest there are more patients presenting for care in the emergency room with vestibular disorders than once thought. A large body of studies suggest that providers who treat these patients face barriers and have a low confidence level in diagnosing a vestibular disorder. Consequently, research also indicates patients are leaving the emergency room with a misdiagnosis or no diagnosis and no referrals vestibular therapy. Fortunately, research shows there are interventions and screening tools to assist providers and patients with correctly identifying and treating vestibular disorders.

Goelding et al. (2019) performed a retrospective study and discovered patients (n=2596/23,608) who present to the emergency department with vestibular symptoms are three times more frequent than previous studies indicated. Further investigation revealed only patients with the main complaint of a vestibular symptom were being counted and those who had a complaint with a subsequent vestibular symptom were not included. The researchers' concluded strokes were the predominant cause of the vestibular symptoms with 25% being life-threatening (Goelding et al., 2019). They point to provider inexperience and lack of clinical skills as the culprits to misdiagnosing and highlight the need for better recognition of vestibular symptoms.

Unfortunately, even when vestibular symptoms are recognized patients are leaving the emergency room undiagnosed. A retrospective one-year, single-center, cross-
sectional study revealed one in seven patients who present to the emergency room with a vestibular symptom are not given a diagnosis at discharge (Muller et al., 2020). Patients' emergency room records were audited (n=1599) and grouped to include charts with vestibular diagnoses and charts with vestibular symptoms with unknown origin (VUO). Despite the chance of reporting bias due to the retrospective design of study, patients with VUO consumed a higher amount of emergency room resources than patients with non-VUO diagnoses (Muller et al., 2020). The result of this research reveals additional training in recognizing vestibular symptoms, detailed history and symptom targeted exams is needed to make an accurate diagnosis and can reduce unnecessary emergency room resources. In tandem, Maihoub et al. (2020) found by interviewing vertiginous patients (n=308) seen in the emergency room that only 25% of patients in their study received a correct diagnosis but no follow up care. The researchers, after looking at the data, also stress the importance of a detailed history and exam to make a correct diagnosis. When a diagnosis is made referrals can be made for adjunct therapy.

Dunlap et al. (2019) compared the approach to diagnosis and treatment of dizziness in an ambulatory setting between physicians in different specialties. The result of this retrospective cross-sectional study reports an actual decrease in patients presenting with dizziness in an ambulatory care setting. Patients who saw a neurologist for dizziness were more likely to have costly imaging ordered and are more likely to be referred to physical therapy (Dunlap et al., 2019). This accounted for 13% of the population who were diagnosed with BPPV by the neurologist, leaving a large majority of undiagnosed dizziness patients without referrals to physical therapy. The authors emphasized the need for more referrals for treatment of vestibular disorders.
A recent retrospective observational study focusing on the treatment of benign positional vertigo (BPPV) in the emergency department revealed providers infrequently followed best-practice guidelines (Lloyd et al., 2020). The result of this was a diagnosis of undifferentiated dizziness given to 67% of patients (n=64/96) who presented with a dizziness complaint. This was attributed to providers not following the evidence-based practice guidelines. This study did not investigate the barriers or why providers were not using clinical guidelines in their practice. Other documented studies identify barriers providers disclose that keep them from adhering to clinical guidelines.

Studies suggest providers face barriers preventing them from correctly diagnosing, treating, and giving proper referrals in patients with vertigo symptoms. Providers in different specialties of practice have indicated the need for more efficient guidelines and screening tools for patients with vestibular symptoms (Stephan et al., 2018; Ulyte et al., 2019). Stephan et al. published the results of a qualitative semi-structured interview with primary care providers used to identify barriers to diagnosing and treating patients with the complaint of dizziness or vertigo. Despite selection bias of the physicians interviewed, the primary themes found through the interviews were time constraints during an office visit and inefficient guidelines that are not feasible to be used in a primary care setting. Ulyte et al. Studied diagnosing and treating BPPV specifically. Providers, from three different specialties, were compared by the diagnosing and use of canalith repositioning techniques (CRP) for BPPV. Lack of knowledge and time to perform CRP were the most frequent reasons reported. These findings are important in developing guidelines and screening tools in assisting providers in the diagnosing and treatment of vestibular disorders.
Research studies testing screening tools and interventions in the treatment of vestibular disorders was an important theme found for this study. Stewart et al. (2022) evaluated the use of clinical pathways and assessments by physiotherapists to manage vertigo in the emergency department and if there was a difference in length of stay (LOS) in the emergency department and hospital stays. This retrospective cohort study analyzed data pre and post implementation of the pathway looking at quality of care, efficiency of care, and if vestibular physiotherapy assessment had an impact on quality and efficiency of care. Results show that despite the decrease in LOS in the emergency department and improved quality of care with clinical pathways, assessments completed by a physiotherapist increased admission rates and length of stay. The use of clinical pathways had a positive effect with LOS in the emergency department, however they found there were still 35% of patients with vestibular symptoms discharged home without a specific diagnosis despite the use of a physiotherapy evaluation. This study did not evaluate if those discharged home received referrals for vestibular therapy.

Many different screening tools have been utilized in the assessment and diagnosis of vestibular disorders. There are screening tools that were developed for specific areas of practice but do not translate to all areas of practice. A self-administered questionnaire was developed for patients with BPPV. The questionnaire was designed to help patients self-diagnose, choose the best CRP and determine the affected ear (Kim et al., 2020). Although the self-administered questionnaire has a sensitivity of 87% and specificity of 90%, its limitation is it cannot be used in an acute setting and it is not validated.

Yu et al. (2022) set out to develop and validate a questionnaire-based machine learning model that would predict a diagnosis of a vestibular disorder. Patients (n=1693)
from seven different ENT offices were given an electronic version of a survey via a tablet or smartphone. Nine candidate machine learning methods were compared by their ability to accurately diagnosis a vestibular disorder based on the patient's answers to the questionnaire. In this prospective cohort study, a light gradient boosting machine was found to be most accurate in predicting a vestibular disorder. However, this study's limitation includes a lengthy 23-item questionnaire which would not be feasible in an acute setting. Regardless, the screening tool has a remarkably high predictive ability for BPPV, vestibular migraine, Meniere disease and sudden sensorineural hearing loss with vestibular disfunction (Yu et al., 2022).

A landmark study by Stewart et al. (2015), developed and validated a vestibular screening tool (VST) to be utilized in the emergency department. The VST was developed to identify vestibular disorders based on a verbal 4-question screening tool (Appendix B) designed to identify the presence of a vestibular disorder. If the patient meets the threshold, any score 4 or greater indicates a vestibular disorder and referral to vestibular therapy (Stewart et al., 2015). In this study the VST had an 83% sensitivity and an 84% specificity. Consequently, this screening tool demonstrated 89% predicative value in the emergency room and could be a valuable tool in this project due to its transferability of use to the urgent care setting.

The evidence-based practice (EBP) framework Plan-Do-Study-Act (PDSA) was used to direct this study. The PDSA is a four-stage problem solving process that is used as a model for improving and carrying out changes in a rapid-cycle improvement. This allows for implementing new interventions, observing the results, and making changes based on the results (IHI, 2022).
Research points to an increase in vertiginous patients presenting to the emergency department for treatment. Unfortunately, a common theme found in the research indicates a sizable percentage of these patients are being discharged home without a vestibular disorder diagnosis and therefore no referral to vestibular therapy. Multiple studies indicate the treating providers face many barriers that contribute to unclear diagnoses and proper referrals. This quality improvement project evaluated if implementing the VST in an urgent care setting affected the number of vestibular disorder diagnoses made in vertiginous patients and the number of referrals to vestibular therapy. Provider confidence in diagnosing vestibular disorders, before and after implementation of the VST, was also examined.

**Methods**

**Design**

This quality improvement project analyzed data both retrospective and prospective with a descriptive, comparative design. Quantitative, descriptive data was collected from electronic medical records (EMR) to compare vestibular therapy (VT) referrals made in patients with a diagnosis of dizziness 8 weeks prior to implementing a vestibular screening tool (VST) with the data collected during the 8 weeks of implementation of the VST. This project also compared provider confidence in diagnosing vestibular disorders and referring for VT before and after the VST was implemented.
Setting

This project was implemented in 23 urgent care settings that serve a Midwestern metropolitan region. The privately owned urgent care centers serve over 9,000 patients a week (460,000/year) and include both pediatric and adult populations.

Sample

This project used a convenience sample of ninety-eight providers who provided care in the centers and consisted of a mixture of physicians, physician assistants and nurse practitioners, all with varying years of experience. Data collected from the EMR included visits of patients 18 and older with a chief complaint of dizziness. Anyone under the age of 18 was excluded. Charts with dizziness as the complaint with an emergent cause were excluded.

Intervention/Procedures

The introduction of the validated VST to guide diagnosing and referring patients with vestibular disorders to VT in an urgent care was a QI project led by the Doctor of Nursing Practice (DNP) candidate who is the project director (PD). An email (Appendix C) was sent to the providers, one week prior to data collection, that included an invitation asking them to participate in a survey (Appendix D) and included a link to the pre-survey created by the PD on a Qualtrics platform. The survey was anonymous, did not collect any IP computer addresses and did not ask for any identifying demographics. The providers were asked to submit their responses before or on the day data collection started. The data collected included their role in the urgent care, the number of years they have been practicing in this role, and a 5-point-Likert scale question asking their comfort level on diagnosing a vestibular disorder and referring to VT. On the same day, a text
message (Appendix C) was sent to the providers reminding them to check their email and take the pre-survey. The providers had one week to complete the pre-survey before the survey was disabled on the first day of data collection. Three days prior to data collection, an email (Appendix C) was sent to the providers introducing the VST. The email included education explaining how to score the VST and what score indicates a referral to VT. A copy of the education email and the VST tool (Appendix C) was also posted on the provider resource page of the employee portal. The email provided an explanation for how to use the VST in a patient with vertigo or dizziness that is not due to an emergent condition. The assessment tool directed the provider to assess the patient by asking them 4 questions and scoring their responses. A score of 4 or greater out of 8 possible points indicated the need for VT. The providers were directed to select a VT referral source in the referral section of the plan included in the patient's chart.

On the same day, three days prior to the data collection, providers were sent an additional text message (Appendix C) to check their email for the VST education, a reminder to complete the presurvey and announcing the start of the data collection. On the first day of data collection, the providers were sent a third text message (Appendix C) announcing the start of data collection and a final reminder to complete the pre-survey. At the end of the eight weeks and again one week later, the providers were sent an email (Appendix C) with a link to a post-survey, identical to the pre-survey, to reassess confidence in diagnosing and referring to VT. They were given two weeks to complete the survey.
Data Collection/Analysis

The EMR data reports were collected from the 8 weeks before the first provider email was sent out and from the first 8 weeks after implementing the VST. A total number of 121 charts were reviewed from the first 8 weeks. Weekly reports were generated by the director of IT and collected on an Excel spreadsheet. Reports from the EMR included two columns of data that included the patients’ visit numbers who had an ICD-10 diagnosis code that began with H81 or R42 and the second column listed the ICD-10 code. The weekly reports were given to the PI of this study via an encrypted email. Each of these patients' charts with an ICD-10 code with H81 or R42 was reviewed and counted. From the chart review, any patient who received a referral to VT was identified. All charts with an emergent cause were excluded. Emergent causes included stroke, neurological emergency, or a cardiac condition. An Excel spreadsheet was used to collect the data (Appendix E). No patient HPI or treating provider information was collected. The reports did not include any identifying patient information.

Data was collected from a survey given to the providers one week before and eight weeks after the VST was implemented (Appendix D). Both pre and post VST survey questions asked the role of the provider in the urgent care, the number of years in this role and a 5-point-Likert scale formatted question that asked the provider to describe their comfort level diagnosing a vestibular disorder and referring to VT. The roles to select included physicians, physician assistants, and nurse practitioners. The number of years practicing was categorized as 0-5 years, 6-10 years, 11-15 years, 16-20 years, 21 years or more. The 5-Point-Likert scale was scored using the following answers: 1. Extremely uncomfortable, 2. Somewhat uncomfortable, 3. Neutral, 4. Somewhat
comfortable, and 5. Extremely Comfortable. The survey was uploaded to Qualtrics platform and used to collect the provider's answers. The survey was anonymous and no identifying information or computer IP addresses were collected. The data from the survey was transferred to an Excel spreadsheet, stored on a password protected thumb drive, and kept in a locked desk.

A current version of the Intellectus Statistics Software (ISS) program was used to analyze data from this study. Chi-squared test was used to explore the relationship between a diagnosis of a vestibular disorder with a referral to VT in both the retrospective and prospective data. A Chi-square Test of Independence was conducted to examine whether VT referrals and Primary or Secondary Diagnosis were independent in the pre-VST or post-VST education groups. A Chi-squared test was used to explore the relationship between the provider’s confidence level, their role in the urgent care, and years' experience. A two-tailed Mann-Whitney two-sample rank-sum test was used to compare the results of the provider’s confidence level before and after implementing the VST.

**Approval Processes**

Written approval was attained from the chief medical officer of the urgent care centers where this project was implemented. The project was reviewed by the University of Missouri-St. Louis Institutional Review Board and deemed a quality improvement project not requiring IRB approval prior to this project’s implementation. Risk included asking providers to answer a question about their comfort level recognizing and diagnosing a vestibular disorder. Benefits included improved recognition of a vestibular
disorder which will increase referrals to VT. Completion of the provider survey was strictly voluntary.

**Results**

Table 1 shows the frequency of vestibular disorder diagnosis and VST referral in the pre and post education periods. Primary vestibular disorder was the most common in both groups. No referral was the most common in both groups. Chi-square Test of Independence was conducted to examine whether there were differences in either of these variables between the Pre and Post groups. No statistical difference was found.

**Table 1**

VT and Diagnosis Pre and Post Groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Pre (n=121)</th>
<th>Post (n=126)</th>
<th>Statistic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VT Referrals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>37 (30.6%)</td>
<td>44 (34.9%)</td>
<td>(X^2(1) = 0.53, p = .467)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>84 (69.4%)</td>
<td>82 (65.1%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary or Secondary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>80 (66.1%)</td>
<td>90 (71.4%)</td>
<td>(X^2(1) = 0.81, p = .368)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary</td>
<td>41 (33.8%)</td>
<td>36 (28.65%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Chi-square Test of Independence were conducted to examine whether VT referrals and Primary or Secondary Diagnosis were independent in the pre-VST or post-VST education groups. The results of the Chi-square test in the pre-VST education group were significant, \(\chi^2(1) = 5.33, p = .021\). The results of the Chi-Square test in the post-VST education group was significant, \(\chi^2(1) = 12.57, p < .001\). The results suggest that VT referrals and diagnosis are related to one another in both groups. Table 2 represents the results of the Chi-square test.
Table 2

VT Referrals and Primary or Secondary Diagnosis Pre and Post Groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Primary (n=121)</th>
<th>Secondary (n=126)</th>
<th>Statistic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre VT Referrals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>30 (24.5%)</td>
<td>7 (12.4%)</td>
<td>$\chi^2(1) = 5.33, p = .021$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>50 (55.5%)</td>
<td>34 (28.5%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post VT Referrals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>40 (31.4%)</td>
<td>4 (12.6%)</td>
<td>$\chi^2(1) = 12.57, p &lt; .001$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>50 (58.6%)</td>
<td>32 (23.4%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 shows the frequency of the provider’s years of experience and the provider’s role in the pre and post education periods. The most common years of experience in both groups was 0-5 years. The most observed provider role in both groups was Physician Assistant. Chi-square Test of Independence was conducted to examine whether there were differences in either of these variables between the Pre and Post groups. No statistical difference was found.
Table 3

Provider Years Experience and Role Pre and Post Groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Pre (n=73)</th>
<th>Post (n=30)</th>
<th>Statistic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Years Experience</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-5</td>
<td>36 (49.3%)</td>
<td>14 (46.7%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-10</td>
<td>18 (69.4%)</td>
<td>6 (20%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-15</td>
<td>9 (12.3%)</td>
<td>6 (20%)</td>
<td>$\chi^2(4) = 1.37, p = .850$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16-20</td>
<td>4 (5.4%)</td>
<td>1 (3.3%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;21</td>
<td>6 (8.2%)</td>
<td>3 (10%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provider Role</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physician</td>
<td>11 (15.1%)</td>
<td>4 (13.3%)</td>
<td>$\chi^2(2) = 0.31, p = .857$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physician Assistant</td>
<td>37 (50.7%)</td>
<td>14 (46.7%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nurse Practitioner</td>
<td>25 (34.3%)</td>
<td>12 (40%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A two-tailed Mann-Whitney two-sample rank-sum test was conducted to examine whether there were significant differences in Provider Comfort Level between the Pre and Post Survey. There were 73 observations in the Pre group and 30 observations in the Post group. The result of the two-tailed Mann-Whitney $U$ test was significant, $U = 838, z = -1.98, p = .047$. This suggests that the distribution of Provider Comfort Level for the Pre group was significantly different from the distribution of the Post group. The mean for the Pre group (48.48) was significantly lower than the mean for the Post group (60.57). Table 4 presents the result of the two-tailed Mann-Whitney $U$ test.
Table 4

*Two-Tailed Mann-Whitney Test for Provider Comfort Level by Pre and Post Group*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Pre VST</th>
<th>Post VST</th>
<th>U</th>
<th>z</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Provider Comfort Level</td>
<td>Mean Rank</td>
<td>48.48</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>60.57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Discussion**

The implementation of a VST in an urgent care setting did not affect the frequency of referrals to vestibular therapy. However, VT referrals were more frequent when a vestibular disorder was the primary diagnosis. Provider comfort level in diagnosing a vestibular disorder and referring to VT prior to the implementation of the VST did improve compared to their comfort levels prior to using the VST.

A significant limitation of the study was not documenting a VST score in the patient’s chart to show that the provider used the VST with patients diagnosed with a vestibular disorder. Not having the score documented in the patient’s chart makes it impossible to correlate an increase in VT referrals with the use of the VST. Providers had to access the intranet to utilize the VST therefore they did not have the VST readily available to them during their clinical shift. This was done to avoid provider burden by not having the provider carry a reference card with a printed VST. There was also a change in the response rate between the Pre and Post VST groups. With an attrition rate of 59% it is difficult to detect significant relationships and it affects the internal validity of this quality improvement project.

The findings in this project did not reflect the findings in the literature review. Stewart et al. (2022) studied the use of a vertigo clinical pathway, including the VST, that
was documented in the patient’s chart presenting to the emergency department with complaints of dizziness. The pathway was used to guide the care of the vertiginous patient that presented to the emergency department. The results of the study indicate an increase in physiotherapy follow up and overall improved quality of care and efficiency. The use of the VST was repeated in an urgent care setting but the score was not included in the patient’s chart. No significant change in VT referrals was made with the implementation of the VST. Stephan et al. (2018) highlighted provider confidence as a barrier to making a correct diagnosis in vertiginous patients. Providers in this study had an improvement in their confidence level when diagnosing a vestibular disorder and referring to VT despite the attrition rate and not having the VST readily available. The findings of this quality improvement project suggest that the introduction of the VST was not successful.

Recommendations for the next PDSA cycle include providing each provider with a laminated pocket version of the VST. In addition, documenting the VST score in the patient’s chart so a correlation can be made with frequency of vestibular disorder diagnoses and referring to VT. This could also be accomplished if the provider was able to populate the VST in the EMR when a patient presented with a complaint of dizziness. The attrition rate could be improved by sending a text with the reminder emails to complete the post survey and leaving the survey available for a longer time period. Recommendations also include repeating the study with a larger sample size and looking at the VST utilization rate and comfort level by provider role.

**Conclusion**

This QI project did not see any increase in the number of referrals for VT with the implementation of a VST. Although there was improvement of provider’s perception of their overall comfort level in diagnosing vestibular disorders and referring to VT despite the attrition rate and not having the VST readily available.
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This study aims to use vertigo/dizziness clinical pathways in the emergency department to see if it affects quality and efficiency of care. Data extracted will include time from ED presentation to assessment, hospital admission rates, and ED and total hospital length of stay.

The study used two Australian EDs to review charts of adults, who presented with vestibular disorder symptoms. The groups were prepathway cohorts (n=214), postpathway cohorts (n=329) and no vestibular physiotherapy assessments (n=150).

Retrospective study to compare differences in cohorts before and after utilizing the clinical pathway for vertigo/dizziness with groups who received an assessment by a physiotherapist and a group who did not receive an assessment by a physiotherapist.

Results: Postpathway groups, compared to prepathway groups, were more likely to have physiotherapy assessments, reduced wait times until they were assessed, and shorter ED length of stays. When the group who were not given a vestibular physiotherapy assessment with the group assessed by a physiotherapist, the results show they were given a specific diagnosis more often, but they were admitted to the hospital more often and had longer hospital stays. Strengths: The ED vertigo/dizziness pathway did show improvement in reduced ED wait times and improved quality of care. Weakness: The study took place in an Australian ED so the pathway developed and implemented may not be applicable to other countries. Limitations: It was a retrospective design by collecting data from medical records which is dependent on the person recognizing and documenting vestibular signs and symptoms correctly. Recommendations: The researchers recommend vestibular physiotherapy be an addition to management of the vertigo/dizziness patient in the ED or hospital setting.
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Appendix B

A score of 4 or greater is indication for referral to vestibular therapy

Vestibular Screening Tool

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vestibular Screening Tool</th>
<th>Yes (2)</th>
<th>Sometimes (1)</th>
<th>No (0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Do you have a feeling that things are spinning or moving around?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Does bending over and/or looking up at the sky make you dizzy?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Does lying down and turning over in bed make you feel dizzy?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Does moving your head quickly from side to side make you feel dizzy?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Appendix C  
Provider Communication

Email to providers 1 week prior to data collection with link to survey

Dear fellow providers,

This letter serves as an explanation and invitation to participate in my Doctor of Nursing (DNP) project. I am a doctoral candidate at the University of Missouri-St. Louis (UMSL) and have chosen a quality improvement project with the objective to implement a vestibular screening tool (VST) that will improve the recognition of nonemergent vestibular disorders and will increase referrals to vestibular therapy. I will also ask to collect information from you that include what your role is in the urgent care, how long you have been in this role and your confidence level on recognizing vestibular disorders and referring to vestibular therapy. This is an anonymous survey and your participation is strictly voluntary. No identifying information or IP addresses will be collected. After collecting 8 weeks of data, you will receive the same anonymous survey. Again, your participation is strictly voluntary.

Please find the link to the survey here __________.

Thank you for your participation,

Kathleen (Kat) Probst

Text Message 1 week prior to data collection

Team, please see Kat’s email about the exciting vestibular screening project she is conducting. Please fill out the initial survey (link in Kat’s email) before this project officially launches next week on 2/8/23.

Email 3 days prior to data collection

Posted on the resource page of employee portal

Dear fellow providers,

This letter serves as an explanation and invitation to participate in my Doctor of Nursing (DNP) project. I am a doctoral candidate at the University of Missouri-St. Louis (UMSL) and have chosen a quality improvement project with the objective to implement a vestibular screening tool (VST) that will improve the recognition of nonemergent vestibular disorders and will increase referrals to vestibular therapy. I will also ask to collect information from you that include what your role is in the urgent care, how long you have been in this role and your confidence level on recognizing vestibular disorders and referring to vestibular therapy. This is an anonymous survey and your participation is strictly voluntary. No identifying information or IP addresses will be collected. After collecting 8 weeks of data, you will receive the same anonymous survey. Again, your participation is strictly voluntary.

The link to the study that constructed and validated the VST can be found here: file:///Users/kathleenprobst/Downloads/Construction%20and%20validation%20of%20the%20vestibular%20screening%20tool.pdf
Thank you for your participation,
Kathleen (Kat) Probst

The VST is a 4-question scale used in your assessment of a patient who presents with the chief complaint of dizziness. (A VST can be found at the end of this email.) This is after all nonemergent causes have been excluded. Their response score will be out of 8, and any score 4 or higher will indicate a referral to vestibular therapy. Document the score in the procedure notes in the patient’s chart. After you have selected their diagnosis, you will find vestibular therapy referrals in our database of referrals located in the plan section of the EMR. Their vestibular disorder diagnosis does not have to be their primary diagnosis. The data I will be collecting will include how many patients present with a chief complaint of dizziness and how many referrals are made to vestibular therapy for these patients. This data collected from charts will include the 8 weeks prior to the implementation of the VST and data from the first 8 weeks of implementing the VST. I will also collect the data from the pre/post provider survey and compare confidence levels, years of experience and the provider’s role in the urgent care.

Vestibular Screening Tool
Score of 4 or greater is indication for vestibular referral

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vestibular Screening Tool</th>
<th>Yes (2)</th>
<th>Sometimes (1)</th>
<th>No (0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Do you have a feeling that things are spinning or moving around?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Does bending over and/or looking up at the sky make you dizzy?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Does lying down and/turning over in bed make you feel dizzy?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Does moving your head quickly from side to side make you feel dizzy?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>/8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Text 3 days prior to data collection**

Team, please see Kat’s email from today introducing the vestibular screening tool and education. Please fill out the initial survey (link in Kat’s email) before this project officially launches on 2/8/23.

**Text Message first day of data collection**

Team, this is a reminder that today is the day Kat’s Vestibular Screening Tool (VST) project starts. Please use the VST, found on the provider resource page, with your dizzy/vertigo patients. If you have decided to participate in the study, please remember to complete your anonymous survey.

**Email after 8 weeks of data collection**

*Link to post survey*

Dear Team,

Data collection for the Vestibular Screening Tool (VST) has been completed. If you participated in the project and took the pre VST survey, please complete the post survey here________.

Again, your participation is anonymous and strictly voluntary. No identifying information or IP addresses will be collected.

Thank you for your participation,
Kathleen Probst
Appendix D

Provider Pre/Post Survey

What is your role in the urgent care?

Physician
Physician Assistant
Nurse Practitioner

How long have you been in this role?

1. 0-5 years
2. 6-10 years
3. 11-15 years
4. 16-20 years
5. > 21 years

How comfortable are you recognizing and diagnosing a vestibular disorder and then referring the patient to vestibular therapy?

1. Very uncomfortable
2. Uncomfortable
3. Neutral
4. Comfortable
5. Very comfortable
Appendix E

Collection of Retrospective and Prospective Data Tool

Excel Spreadsheet

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dates</th>
<th>Number of patients with ICD-10 Codes H81 &amp; R42</th>
<th>Yes or NO for referrals to vestibular therapy from these patient’s charts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Week 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>